





JC 2023 050

13 November 2023

Final report

Draft implementing technical standards amending Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 on the mapping of ECAIs' credit assessments under Article 136(1) and (3) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013

Contents

1.	Executive Summary	3
2.	Background and rationale	5
the	Draft implementing technical standards amending Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1 mapping of ECAIs' credit assessment under Article 136(1) and (3) of Regulation (EU) No 5/2013	799 o r 7
4.	Accompanying documents	19
4.1	Draft Cost-Benefit Analysis/Impact Assessment	19
4.2	Feedback on the public consultation	25
	Summary of responses to the consultation and the FSAs' analysis	26

1. Executive Summary

The Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR)¹ establishes that risk weights under the Standardised Approach should be based on the exposure class to which the exposure is assigned and, if applicable, its credit quality determined by reference to the credit assessments of External Credit Assessment Institutions (ECAIs). The Joint Committee (JC) of the European Supervisory Authorities (ESAs) is mandated under Article 136(1) of the CRR to provide a correspondence ('mapping') between relevant credit assessments of ECAIs and Credit Quality Steps (CQS), as set out in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title II of Part Three of the CRR, where reference is made to the relevant risk weights for the calculation of credit risk capital requirements under the Standardised Approach.

According to Article 136(1) CRR, 'mappings' should be specified for all ECAIs, which are defined according to Article 4(98) CRR as Credit Rating Agencies (CRAs) registered or certified in accordance with the CRA Regulation^{2, 3} or a central bank issuing credit ratings that are exempt from the application of CRA Regulation.

The European Commission adopted on 7 October 2016 Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 ('the Implementing Regulation') ⁴ laying down Implementing Technical Standards with regard to the mapping of credit assessments of ECAIs for credit risk. Annex III of the Implementing Regulation provided mapping tables for 26 ECAIs, which covered one central bank and all the CRAs registered or certified in accordance with the CRA Regulation at the time the ESAs started preparing the draft ITS.

This Implementing Regulation was subsequently amended on 25 April 2018,⁵ to incorporate mappings for the five new ECAIs, that had been registered or certified after the ESAs submitted the original draft ITS to the Commission, and to remove references to a de-registered ECAI, thereby providing in total mappings for 30 ECAIs. Further, a second amendment was adopted on 29 November 2019⁶ to reflect a monitoring exercise on the adequacy of existing mappings, which was based on objective quantitative and qualitative information collected since the original mappings were produced. Finally, a third amendment was adopted on 16 November 2021⁷ to reflect the production of mappings for two newly established ECAIs, together with an updated mapping for ten ECAIs following another monitoring exercise.

¹Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms. OJ L 176, 27.6.2013, p. 1.

² Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of 16 September 2009 on credit rating agencies (OJ L 302, 17.11.2009, p. 1-33).

http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:02009R1060-20150621.

³ Please refer to the ESMA website for a list of CRAs registered or certified in accordance with the CRA Regulation. https://www.esma.europa.eu/supervision/credit-rating-agencies/risk

⁴ Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 of 7 October 2016 (OJ L 275, 12.10.2016, p.3-18)

⁵ Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/634 of 24 April 2018 (OJ L 105, 25.4.2018, p. 14–20).

⁶ Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/2028 of 29 November 2019 (OJ L 313, 4.12.2019, p. 34–40).

⁷ Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2006 of 16 November 2021 (OJ L 407, 17.11.2021, p. 18–26).

Since the third amendment to the draft ITS was developed, ESMA has withdrawn the registration of three CRAs.⁸ Further, the JC of the ESAs is required to monitor the existing mappings and has therefore analysed whether the mapping of existing ECAIs remains appropriate. The monitoring has identified that the existing mapping tables of the ITS are to be amended for 9 ECAIs, either as a result of i) changes in the allocation of CQS due to an updated assessment of risk in line with the EBA methodology, based on additional information collected since the last amendment was produced, ii) assignment of mappings for newly introduced or replaced credit rating scales by existing ECAIs, or iii) changes in the name of the CRA or the rating scale/ rating category symbols.

The Implementing Regulation will therefore need to be amended accordingly. The revised draft ITS will propose amendments to the Mapping tables specified in Annex III of the Implementing Regulation 2016/1799. The following changes will be made:

- Removal from the mapping table of the three CRAs that have lost ECAI status following their de-registration as a CRA under the CRA Regulation,⁹ and reflection of name changes of two registered entities.¹⁰
- Amendments due to the re-allocation of CQS (EthiFinance Ratings, S.L. (previously Axesor Risk Management, S.L.)., Creditreform Ratings AG, ICAP CRIF S.A. (previously ICAP S.A.), Nordic Credit Rating AS) and amendments due to new or amended credit rating scales (ARC Ratings S.A., Banque de France, Bulgarian Credit Rating Agency (BCRA), EthiFinance Ratings, S.L. (previously Axesor Risk Management, S.L.)., Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited, Kroll Bond Rating Agency and Scope Ratings GmbH).

Additionally, Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited informed the ESAs during the consultation that its derivative counterparty rating scale does not reflect the rating categories related to restricted default and default in the draft ITS. The ESAs agreed to reflect these rating categories, which are associated by definition to the credit quality step denoting the lowest creditworthiness, i.e. CQS 6.

Individual mapping reports are published on the EBA website, including for those ECAIs that i) expanded to additional SA exposure classes or credit assessment types within their existing structure of credit rating scales or ii) updated their qualitative factors: EthiFinance Ratings, S.L. (previously Axesor Risk Management, S.L.), Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited, GBB- Rating Gesellschaft für Bonitätsbeurteilung GmbH and Scope Ratings GmbH.

EBA REGULAR USE 4

_

⁸ Rating-Agentur Expert RA GmbH captured in the Consultation Paper, and additionally Qivalio SAS (previously Spread Research) and Scope Hamburg GmbH (previously Euler Hermes Rating GmbH) reflected as de-registered in the Final Report. Please refer to the ESMA website for a list of de-registered or de-certified CRAs: https://www.esma.europa.eu/supervision/credit-rating-agencies/risk

⁹ As per CRR Article 4(1) subparagraph 98, 'external credit assessment institution' or 'ECAI' means a credit rating agency that is registered or certified in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 on credit rating agencies or a central bank issuing credit ratings which are exempt from the application of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009.

10 "Average Rick Management S.L." has been as a lifetime of the council of

¹⁰ "Axesor Risk Management S.L." has been renamed "EthiFinance Ratings" and "ICAP S.A." has been renamed "ICAP CRIF S.A.".

2. Background and rationale

The nature of ITS under EU law

This draft ITS are produced in accordance with Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 (EBA regulation),¹¹ Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1094/2010 (EIOPA Regulation)¹² and Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1095/2010 (ESMA Regulation).¹³

Background

As stated in Article 135(1) CRR, external credit assessments can only be used if they have been provided by an ECAI. ECAIs are defined as credit rating agencies registered or certified in accordance with the CRA Regulation or any central bank issuing credit ratings, and that are exempt from the application of the CRA Regulation.¹⁴ Since the third amendment to the draft ITS was developed three CRAs have lost ECAI status following their deregistration as CRA under the CRA Regulation,¹⁵ and two registered entities have been renamed.¹⁶

Furthermore, in line with recital 24 of the Implementing Regulation, which stipulates that compliance with the CRR is required at all times and it is therefore necessary to monitor the performance of the mappings on a continuous basis, the adequacy of the existing mappings have also been reviewed. The performance of the mappings has been monitored based on the additional quantitative information collected after the Implementing Regulation entered into force and on the qualitative developments registered by the ECAIs. While ESMA updates the Central Repository of credit rating (CEREP) database infrastructure, expected in the course of 2023, the ESAs have conducted technical meetings and developed a bridge interim solution from ESMA's CEREP and Ratings Data Repository (RADAR) that allows for the transmission of relevant statistics on ratings performance in an aggregate manner for this ITS amendment.

As a result of this monitoring exercise, the Implementing Regulation needs to be amended to reflect developments on credit rating scales and the allocation of CQS for those ECAIs that have experienced changes in the quantitative or qualitative factors of their credit assessments.

¹¹ Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 establishing a European Supervisory Authority (European Banking Authority), amending Decision No 716/2009/EC and repealing Commission Decision 2009/78/EC (OJ L 331, 15.12.2010, p.12).

¹² Regulation (EU) No 1094/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 establishing a European Supervisory Authority (European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority), amending Decision No 716/2009/EC and repealing Commission Decision 2009/79/EC (OJ L 331, 15.12.2010, p. 48).

¹³ Regulation (EU) No 1095/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 establishing a European Supervisory Authority European Securities and Markets Authority), amending Decision No 716/2009/EC and repealing Commission Decision 2009/77/EC (OJ L 331, 15.12.2010, p. 84).

¹⁴ CRR Article 4(1) subparagraph 98.

 $^{^{\}rm 15}$ Please refer to the ESMA website for a list of de-registered or de-certified CRAs:

https://www.esma.europa.eu/supervision/credit-rating-agencies/risk

¹⁶ "Axesor Risk Management S.L." was renamed "EthiFinance Ratings S.L." and "ICAP S.A." was renamed "ICAP CRIF S.A."

- Amendments due to re-allocation of credit quality steps: Nordic Credit Rating AS, EthiFinance Ratings, S.L. (previously Axesor Risk Management, S.L.), ICAP CRIF S.A. (previously ICAP S.A.), Creditreform Ratings AG.
- Amendments due to changes in credit rating scales: ARC Ratings S.A., Banque de France, Bulgarian Credit Rating Agency (BCRA), EthiFinance Ratings, S.L. (previously Axesor Risk Management, S.L.), Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited, Kroll Bond Rating Agency and Scope Ratings GmbH.

Individual mapping reports are also published on the EBA website, including for those ECAIs that expanded to additional SA exposure classes or credit assessment types within their existing structure of credit rating scales, or those that updated their qualitative factors: EthiFinance Ratings, S.L. (previously Axesor Risk Management, S.L.), Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited, GBB-Rating Gesellschaft für Bonitätsbeurteilung GmbH and Scope Ratings GmbH.

Structure of the ITS

These revised draft ITS amend Annex III of the Implementing Regulation to take into account the performance of existing ECAIs' mappings and their adequacy, together with the removal of the mapping of deregistered ECAIs. This is in line with the second subparagraph of Article 136(1) CRR, which states that revised draft ITS shall be submitted where necessary.

Together with the revised draft ITS, individual amended mapping reports are also published on the EBA's website.

3. Draft implementing technical standards amending Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 on the mapping of ECAIs' credit assessment under Article 136(1) and (3) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) No .../... amending the technical standards laid down in Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 as regards the mapping tables specifying the correspondence between the credit risk assessments of external credit assessment institutions and the credit quality steps set out in Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council

of XXX

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012¹⁷, and in particular the first subparagraph of Article 136(1) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799¹⁸ specifies, in its Annex III, the correspondence between the relevant credit assessments issued by an external credit assessment institution ('ECAI') and the credit quality steps set out in Part Three, Title II, Chapter 2, Section 2 of Regulation (EU) No 575/ 2013 ('mapping').
- (2) Since the latest amendments introduced by Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2005¹⁹, the quantitative and qualitative factors underpinning the credit assessments of some mappings in Annex III to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 have changed. In addition, some ECAIs have extended their credit assessments to new market segments, resulting in new rating scales and new credit rating types. It is therefore necessary to update the mappings of the ECAIs concerned.
- (3) Since the adoption of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2005, three ECAIs for which Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 provided a mapping have been deregistered. As Article 136(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 requires the specification of mappings for all ECAIs, Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 should be amended to remove the mapping for the deregistered ECAIs.
- (4) In addition, two ECAIs registered in accordance with Articles 14 to 18 of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009, and for which a mapping was provided in Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799, have changed their name and one registered ECAI amended the symbols used to denote their rating categories of its rating scales. It is

EBA REGULAR USE 8

1

¹⁷ OJ L 176, 27.6.2013, p. 1.

¹⁸ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 of 7 October 2016 laying down implementing technical standards with regard to the mapping of credit assessments of external credit assessment institutions for credit risk in accordance with Articles 136(1) and 136(3) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 275, 12.10.2016, p. 3).

^{12.10.2016,} p. 3).

19 Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2005 of 16 November 2021 amending Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 as regards the mapping tables specifying the correspondence between the credit risk assessments of external credit assessment institutions and the credit quality steps set out in Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 407, 17.11.2021, p. 10-17).

- therefore necessary to amend the mapping for those ECAIs to reflect the name changes and current symbols used by those ECAIs.
- (5) Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 should therefore be amended accordingly.
- (6)This Regulation is based on the draft implementing technical standards submitted by the European Banking Authority, the European Securities and Markets Authority and the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority jointly (the European Supervisory Authorities) to the Commission.
- The European Supervisory Authorities have conducted open public consultations on the (7) draft implementing technical standards, analysed the potential related costs and benefits and requested the opinion of the Banking Stakeholder Group established in accordance with Article 37 of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council²⁰; the opinion of the Securities and Markets Stakeholder Group established in accordance with Article 37 of Regulation (EU) No 1095/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council²¹; and the opinion of the Insurance and Reinsurance Stakeholder Group established in accordance with Article 37 of Regulation (EU) No 1094/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council.²²

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1 Amendment to Regulation (EU) 2016/1799

Annex III to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 is replaced by the text set out in the Annex to this Regulation.

Article 2 Entry into force

This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels,

²⁰ Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 establishing a European Supervisory Authority (European Banking Authority), amending Decision No 716/2009/EC and repealing

Commission Decision 2009/78/EC(OJ L 331, 15.12.2010, p. 12).

21 Regulation (EU) No 1095/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 establishing a European Supervisory Authority (European Securities and Markets Authority), amending Decision No 716/2009/EC and repealing Commission Decision 2009/77/EC (OJ L 331, 15.12.2010, p. 84).

Regulation (EU) No 1094/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 establishing a European Supervisory Authority (European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority), amending Decision No 716/2009/EC and repealing Commission Decision 2009/79/EC (OJ L 331, 15.12.2010, p. 48).

For the Commission The President

ANNEX

ANNEX III

Mapping tables for the purposes of Article 16

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
A.M. Best (EU) Rating Services B.V.						
Long-term issuer credit rating scale	aaa, aa+, aa, aa-	a+, a, a-	bbb+, bbb, bbb-	bb+, bb, bb-	b+, b, b-	ccc+, ccc, ccc-, cc, c, d, e, f, s
Long-term issue rating scale	aaa, aa+, aa, aa-	a+, a, a-	bbb+, bbb, bbb-	bb+, bb, bb-	b+, b, b-	ccc+, ccc, ccc-, cc, c, d, s
Financial strength rating scale	A++, A+	A, A-	B++, B+	B, B-	C++, C+	C, C-, D, E, F, S
Short-term issuer rating scale	AMB-1+	AMB-1-	AMB-2, AMB-3	AMB- 4, d, e, f, s		
Short-term issue rating scale	AMB-1+	AMB-1-	AMB-2, AMB-3	AMB- 4, d, s		
ARC Ratings S.A.						
Medium- and long-term issuer rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, D
Medium- and long-term issue rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	В	CCC, CC, C, D
Insurance Financial Strength Rating Criteria scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	В	CCC, CC, C, D

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Short-term issuer rating scale	A-1+	A-1	A-2, A-3	B, C, D		
Short-term issue rating scale	A-1+	A-1	A-2, A-3	B, C, D		
ASSEKURATA Assekuranz Rating-Agentur GmbH						
Long-term credit rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC/C, D
Short-term corporate rating scale	A++	A		B, C, D		
Banque de France						
Global ANACOT long-term issuer credit rating scale	1+	1, 1-	2+, 2, 2-	3+, 3, 3-, 4+, 4, 4-, 5+	5, 5-, 6+, 6, 6-	7, 8, P
BCRA — Credit Rating Agency AD						
Global long-term rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, D
Global short-term rating scale	A-1+	A-1	A-2, A-3	B, C, D		
Pension-insurance company long-term scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	В	CCC, CC, C, D
Pension-insurance company short-term scale	A-1+	A-1	A-2, A-3	B, C, D		
Pension fund long-term scale	AAA pf, AA pf	A pf	BBB pf	BB pf	B pf	C pf
Capital Intelligence Ratings Ltd						
International long-term issuer rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	C, RS, SD, D

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
International long-term issue rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, D
International long-term insurer financial strength rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	В	C, RS, SD, D
International short-term issuer rating scale	A1+	A1	A2, A3	B, C, RS, SD, D		
International short-term issue rating scale	A1+	A1	A2, A3	B, C, D		
International short-term insurer financial strength rating scale	A1+	A1	A2, A3	B, C, RS, SD, D		
Cerved Rating Agency S.p.A.						
Corporate long-term rating scale	A1.1, A1.2, A1.3	A2.1, A2.2, A3.1	B1.1, B1.2	B2.1, B2.2	C1.1	C1.2, C2.1
Corporate short-term rating scale	S-1	S-2	S-3	V-1, R-1		
Creditreform Rating AG						
Long-term issuer rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	C, SD, D
Long-term issue rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	C, D
Short-term rating scale	L1	L2	L3	NEL, D		
CRIF Ratings S.r.l.						
Long-term issuer rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, D1S, D

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Long-term issue rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, DS
SME rating scale	SME1, SME2		SME3	SME4	SME5, SME6	SME7, SME8
Short-term issuer rating scale	IG-1		IG-2	SIG-1, SIG-2, SIG-3, SIG-4		
Short-term issue rating scale	IG-1		IG-2	SIG-1, SIG-2, SIG-3, SIG-4		
DBRS Ratings GmbH						
Long-term obligations rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, D
Commercial paper and short-term debt rating scale	R-1 H, R-1 M	R-1 L	R-2, R-3	R-4, R-5, D		
Financial strength rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, R
Expected loss rating scale	AAA(el), AA(el)	A(el)	BBB(el)	BB(el)	B(el)	CCC(el), CC(el), C(el)
Egan-Jones Ratings Co.						
Long-term credit rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, D
Short-term credit rating scale	A-1+	A-1	A-2	A-3, B, C, D		
EthiFinance S.L. (formerly Axesor Risk Management S.L.)						
Global long-term rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, D

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Global short-term rating scale	EF1+		EF1, EF2	EF3, EF4 EF5		
EuroRating Sp. z o.o.						
Global long-term rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, D
Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited						
Long-term issuer default rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, RD, D
Corporate finance obligations — long-term rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C
Long-term international Insurer Financial Strength rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C
Derivative counterparty rating scale	AAA der, AA der	A der	BBB dcr	BB dcr	B der	CCC dcr, CC dcr, C dcr, RD dcr, R dcr
Short-term rating scale	F1+	F1	F2, F3	B, C, RD, D		
Short-term IFS rating scale	F1+	F1	F2, F3	B, C		
GBB-Rating Gesellschaft für Bonitätsbeurteilung GmbH						
Global long-term rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, D
HR Ratings de México, S.A. de C.V.						

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Global long-term rating scale	HR AAA(G)/HR AA(G)	HR A(G)	HR BBB(G)	HR BB(G)	HR B(G)	HR C(G)/HR D(G)
Global short-term rating scale	HR+1(G)/HR1(G)	HR2(G)	HR3(G)	HR4(G), HR5(G), HR D(G)		
ICAP CRIF S.A. (previously ICAP S.A.)						
Global long-term issuer rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B, CCC	CC, C, D
Global long-term issue rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B, CCC	CC, C, D
INBONIS S.A.						
Long-term rating scale	AAA/AA	A	BBB	BB	В	CCC, CC, C, D
Japan Credit Rating Agency Ltd						
Long-term issuer rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, LD, D
Long-term issue rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	В	CCC, CC, C, D
Short-term issuer rating scale	J-1+	J-1	J-2	J-3, NJ, LD, D		
Short-term issue credit rating scale	J-1+	J-1	J-2	J-3, NJ, D		
Kroll Bond Rating Agency Europe Limited						
Long-term credit rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	В	CCC, CC, C, D
Short-term credit rating scale	K1+	K 1	K2, K3	B, C, D		

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Insurance Financial Strength rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, D
modeFinance S.r.l.						
Global long-term rating scale	A1, A2	A3	B1	B2	В3	C1, C2, C3, D
Moody's Investors Service						
Global long-term rating scale	Aaa, Aa	A	Baa	Ba	В	Caa, Ca, C
Global short-term rating scale	P-1	P-2	P-3	NP		
Nordic Credit Rating AS						
Long-term rating scale	AAA/AA	A	BBB	BB	В	CCC, CC, C, D, SD
Short-term rating scale	N1	N2	N3	N4, N5, N6		
Scope Ratings GmbH						
Long-term rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, D/SD
Short-term rating scale	S-1+	S-1	S-2	S-3, S-4, D/SD		
S&P Global Ratings Europe Limited						
Long-term issuer credit rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	В	CCC, CC, R, SD/D

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Long-term issue credit rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, C, D
Insurer financial strength rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, SD/D, R
Long-term Financial Institution Resolution Counterparty Ratings	AAA, AA	A	BBB	ВВ	В	CCC, CC, SD, D
Mid-Market Evaluation rating scale		MM1	MM2	MM3, MM4	MM5, MM6	MM7, MM8, MMD
Short-term issuer credit rating scale	A-1+	A-1	A-2, A-3	B, C, R, SD/D		
Short-term issue credit rating scale	A-1+	A-1	A-2, A-3	B, C, D		
Short-term Financial Institution Resolution Counterparty Ratings	A-1+	A-1	A-2, A-3	B, C, SD/D		

4. Accompanying documents

4.1 Draft Cost-Benefit Analysis/Impact Assessment

Procedural issues

In accordance with the Regulations of the three ESAs, an analysis of costs and benefits is conducted when drafting ITS, unless the analysis is disproportionate in relation to the scope and impact of the draft ITS concerned.

Problem identification

According to Article 135(1) of the CRR, an external credit assessment may be used to determine the risk weight of an exposure under the Standardised Approach only if it has been issued by an ECAI or has been endorsed by an ECAI in accordance with the CRA Regulation.

Recital 98 of the CRR advises that the ECAI market should be open to more credit rating agencies given the dominance of three undertakings. Accordingly, Article 4(98) of the CRR automatically recognises credit rating agencies registered or certified in accordance with the CRA Regulation as ECAIs. Central banks issuing credit ratings which are exempt from the application of the CRA Regulation are also recognised as ECAIs.

The usage of external credit ratings for determining own fund requirements requires a mapping between the credit assessments issued by an ECAI and the Credit Quality Steps (CQS) of the Standardised Approach set out in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title II of Part Three of the CRR.

Mappings should be made available for all existing credit rating agencies registered or certified in accordance with the CRA Regulation and to central banks producing ratings that are not subject to that Regulation.

Recital 24 of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 points out that, given the fact that compliance with Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 is required at all times, it is necessary to monitor the performance of the mapping on a continuous basis and implement amendments in case of de-/registrations under the CRA Regulation.

Policy objectives

The main objective of the present revised draft ITS is to amend the Implementing Regulation to include changes in the mappings that have occurred since the third amendment to the draft ITS on Mapping was produced. As a result, the specific objectives of the revised draft ITS are to amend Annex III on the mapping tables in order to:

- Remove the mapping for three deregistered ECAIs: Rating-Agentur Expert RA GmbH, Qivalio SAS (previously Spread Research) and Scope Hamburg GmbH (previously Euler Hermes Rating GmbH).
- Reflect name changes of two registered entities: EthiFinance Ratings, S.L. (previously Axesor Risk Management, S.L.) and ICAP CRIF S.A. (previously ICAP S.A.)
- Reflect amendments due to re-allocation of credit quality steps: Creditreform Ratings AG, EthiFinance Ratings, S.L. (previously Axesor Risk Management, S.L.), ICAP CRIF S.A. (previously ICAP S.A.) and Nordic Credit Rating AS.
- Register amendments due to changes in credit rating scales: ARC Ratings S.A., Banque de France, Bulgarian Credit Rating Agency (BCRA), EthiFinance Ratings, S.L. (previously Axesor Risk Management, S.L.), Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited, Kroll Bond Rating Agency, Nordic Credit Rating AS and Scope Ratings GmbH.

Figure 1: Deregistered ECAI

ECAI	Country of residence	Status
Rating-Agentur Expert RA GmbH	Germany	Deregistered
Qivalio SAS (previously Spread Research)	France	Deregistered
Scope Hamburg GmbH (previously Euler Hermes Rating GmbH)	Germany	Deregistered

Figure 2: Renamed ECAI

ECAI	Previous name	Country of residence
EthiFinance Ratings, S.L. (previously Axesor Risk Management, S.L.)	Axesor Risk Management S.L.	Spain
ICAP CRIF S.A. (previously ICAP S.A.)	ICAP S.A.	Greece

Figure 3: Mapping amendments from the monitoring exercise

Amendments to:

ECAI	Credit Quality Steps Allocation	Credit rating scales
ARC Ratings S.A.	No	Yes
Banque de France	No	Yes
Bulgarian Credit Rating Agency	No	Yes
Creditreform Ratings AG	Yes	No
EthiFinance Ratings, S.L. (previously Axesor Risk Management, S.L.)	Yes	Yes
Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited	No	Yes
ICAP CRIF S.A. (previously ICAP S.A.)	Yes	No
Kroll Bond Rating Agency	No	Yes
Nordic Credit Rating As	Yes	Yes
Scope Ratings GmbH	No	Yes

Individual mapping reports are also published on the EBA website, including for those ECAIs that expanded to additional SA exposure classes or credit assessment types within their existing structure of credit rating scales, or for those ECAIs that amended their qualitative factors: EthiFinance Ratings, S.L. (previously Axesor Risk Management, S.L.), Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited, GBB-Rating Gesellschaft für Bonitätsbeurteilung GmbH and Scope Ratings GmbH.

Notwithstanding the principle stated in the previous paragraphs, the G-20 conclusions and the Financial Stability Board (FSB) principles for reducing reliance on external credit ratings should also be taken into account. Therefore, although the analysis behind the 'mapping' of each ECAI and its regular monitoring over time should alleviate any mechanistic overreliance of the credit risk rules on external ratings, institutions should be encouraged to use internal ratings rather than external credit ratings, even for the purpose of calculating own fund requirements as a way to reduce overreliance on external credit ratings.

These ITS will contribute to a common understanding among institutions and the EU's national competent authorities about the methodology that the Joint Committee should use to specify the

'mappings'. Given that the mappings of any ECAI will be equally applicable in all EU Member States, these ITS will also contribute to ensure a high level of harmonisation and consistent practice in this area and contribute to achieving the objectives in the CRR of enhancing the risk sensitivity of the credit risk rules.

Baseline scenario

The Commission adopted on 7 October 2016 the Implementing Regulation laying down ITS with regard to the mapping of credit assessments of ECAIs for credit risk in accordance with Articles 136(1) and 136(3) of the CRR.¹

That Implementing Regulation provided mapping tables for 26 ECAIs, which covered one central bank and all the CRAs registered or certified in accordance with the CRA Regulation at the time the ESAs started preparing the draft ITS. The Implementing Regulation was subsequently amended on 25 April 2018² to incorporate mappings for the five new ECAIs, that had been registered or certified after the ESAs submitted the original draft ITS to the Commission, and to remove references to a de-registered ECAI, thereby providing in total mappings for 30 ECAIs. A second amendment was adopted on 29 November 2019³ to reflect a monitoring exercise on the adequacy of existing mappings, which was based on objective quantitative and qualitative information collected since the original mappings were produced. The third and most recent amendment was adopted on 16 November 2021⁴ to reflect the production of mappings for two newly established ECAIs, together with an updated mapping for 9 ECAIs identified by the monitoring exercise.

Options considered

The ITS are to be amended following Article 136(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013, which requires the specification of mappings for all ECAIs. Therefore, three deregistered ECAIs were removed from the mapping. Further, given the fact that compliance with Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 is required at all times, it is necessary to monitor the performance of the mapping on a continuous basis. The current draft ITS aims to amend the Implementing Regulation to include changes in the mappings resulting from this continuous monitoring.

The elements that describe the degree of risk expressed by a credit assessment of an ECAI (quantitative and qualitative factors) and the levels of risk that should be used to define each credit quality step ("benchmarks") remain unchanged with respect to the Implementing Regulation adopted by the Commission in October 2016.

Regarding the monitoring exercise, the triggers are based on the quantitative and qualitative factors specified in the Implementing Regulation. Additionally, ECAIs may have extended their credit assessments to new segments and the associated new rating scales and/or new credit rating types will need to be reflected accordingly in the mapping reports. Finally, ECAIs may have made amendments to existing credit rating scales.

¹ Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 of 7 October 2016 (OJ L 275, 12.10.2016, p.3-18).

² Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/634 of 24 April 2018 (OJ L 105, 25.4.2018, p. 14–20).

³ Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/2028 of 29 November 2019 (OJ L 313, 4.12.2019, p. 34–40).

⁴ Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2006 of 16 November 2021 (OJ L 407, 17.11.2021, p. 18–26).

Quantitative factors to calibrate the mapping are drawn from statistics on the rating activity and the rating performance of ECAIs produced by ESMA (CEREP⁵ and RADAR⁶), based on the information provided by the ECAIs as part of their reporting obligations.⁷ Currently, the latest data available cover up to the reference date 30 June 2021.

Qualitative factors are taken into account to produce the mapping, as per Article 136(2) CRR. Further, recital 11 of the Implementing Regulation specifies that both quantitative and qualitative factors should be used to produce a mapping, with the qualitative factors being considered in a second stage, as and when necessary and especially where quantitative factors are not adequate. Following this approach, changes in qualitative factors are assigned lower priority with respect to quantitative factors.

The qualitative factors identified in the Implementing Regulation are:

- the definition of default considered by the ECAI;
- the time horizon of a rating category considered by the ECAI;
- the meaning of a rating category and its relative position within the rating scale;
- the creditworthiness of the items assigned the same rating category;
- the estimate provided by the ECAI of the long run default rate;
- the relationship established by the ECAI ('internal mapping'), where available, between on the
 one hand, the rating category which is being mapped, and on the other hand, other rating
 categories produced by the same ECAI, where a mapping for the latter categories has already
 been set out;
- any other relevant information that can describe the degree of risk expressed by a rating category.

Moreover, as noted in recital 26 of the Implementing Regulation, in March 2016 the Commission notified the JC of the ESAs of its intention to endorse the draft ITS⁸ with amendments, which affected the level of conservatism of the mapping. An Opinion was issued by the ESAs rejecting the amendments proposed by the Commission.⁹

However, as indicated in recital 27 of the Implementing Regulation, the Commission proceeded to amend the draft ITS in respect of some provisions that relate to smaller/newer ECAIs that entered the market recently. As a result, the Commission did not adopt the more conservative treatment in cases of limited data, after the end of the phase-in period in 2019. Therefore, the approach of an "indefinitely extended" phase-in period is also adopted here.

EBA REGULAR USE 23

5

⁵ https://cerep.esma.europa.eu/cerep-web/

⁶ Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/2 RADAR RTS.

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Article 11(2) of the CRA Regulation.

http://www.eba.europa.eu/documents/10180/1269185/Final+Draft+ITS+on+ECAIs%27%20Mapping.pdf/3f4b46bb-825e-4211-b199-519b6b3bf865

⁹http://www.eba.europa.eu/documents/10180/1359456/ESAs+2016+41+%28Joint+Opinion+on+EC+amend+ITS+ECAIs+Mapping+CRR%29.pdf

E. Assessment of the options

Costs

The mappings, as well as their review of adequacy, are produced following the methodology adopted by the Commission. The Commission highlights the need to avoid the automatic application of a more conservative mapping to all ECAIs which did not produce sufficient ratings, for the sole reason that they did not produce sufficient ratings, without taking into account the quality of their ratings. Subsequently, qualitative factors were captured via the notifications sent to ESMA by the ECAIs as part of their reporting obligations under the CRA Regulation.

There are potential risks that ECAIs with insufficient ratings could leverage on the Commission's amendments and produce credit assessments that are less conservative than the mapping would suggest. Less conservative credit assessments would be associated with lower risk weights under the Standardised Approach, which would result in an underestimation of own funds requirements.

Further, in order to limit the compliance costs with this Regulation by ECAIS, the qualitative and quantitative elements to derive the mapping have been sourced from the credit assessment information that CRAs are mandated to submit to ESMA as part of their reporting obligations under Regulation (EU) No 1060/2009 (CRA Regulation) and Regulation (EU) 2015/2. This contributes to reduce the reporting burden to ECAIs and ensures harmonization.

Benefits

The inclusion of smaller ECAIs to the mapping exercise allows the use of those credit assessments for determining own fund requirements. This increases competition in the industry, where certain ECAIs exercise a significant market power.¹⁰

ECAIs having extended their credit assessments to new segments will see the associated new rating scales becoming operational for the purposes of risk-weight determination under the Standardised Approach. Moreover, ECAIs having amended, added or removed rating scales will have their mapping reflecting these changes.

Overall, these changes will benefit the financial sector by providing it with an accurate and updated picture of the correspondence between their credit assessments by ECAIs and the CQS of the Standardised Approach, which allows the use of those credit assessments for determining own fund requirements.

The analysis performed to arrive at each individual mapping and its regular monitoring over time should contribute to mitigate any mechanistic overreliance of the credit risk rules on external ratings, although due caution should continue to be exercised. This is one of the objectives of the CRR derived from the G-20 conclusions and the FSB principles for reducing reliance on external credit ratings.

 $^{^{10}}$ The market share is concentrated in three ECAIs that represent over 90% of the market. Market share calculation based on 2021 applicable turnover from credit rating activities and ancillary services in the EU. Please refer to: Report on CRA market share (ESMA, 2021).

4.2 Feedback on the public consultation

The ESAs publicly consulted on the draft proposal contained in this paper.

The consultation period opened on 25 May 2023 and ended on 26 June 2023. A total of four responses were received, of which two were published.

This paper presents a summary of the key points and other comments arising from the consultation, the analysis and discussion triggered by these comments, and the actions taken to address them if deemed necessary.

One change to the draft ITS has been incorporated as a result of the responses received during the public consultation.

Summary of key issues

The main issues identified in the Consultation Period refer to the request by an ECAI of inclusion of additional ratings, which were notified after the collection of qualitative and quantitative information from all ECAIs had already been finalised, and subsequently analysed by the ESAs. The ESAs consider it would not be appropriate to delay the publication of the Final Report to accommodate for the assessment of those ratings notified late in the process, these will be duly considered in the next monitoring exercise.







JOINT COMMITTEE OF THE EUROPEAN SUPERVISORY AUTHORITIES

Summary of responses to the consultation and the ESAs' analysis

Comments	Summary of responses received	ESAs' analysis	Amendments to the proposals		
Responses to questions in Consultation Paper EBA/CP/2023/15					
Question 1. Do you agree with the proposed revised draft Implementing Technical Standards?					
Credit ratings and rating scales	A submitter requested that the annex should additionally include their newly developed ratings.	The newly reported ratings were reported after the collection of qualitative and quantitative information from all ECAIs had already been finalised, and subsequently analysed by the ESAs. The ESAs consider it would not be appropriate to delay the publication of the Final Report to accommodate for the assessment of those ratings notified late in the process, these will be duly considered in the next monitoring exercise.	No change		
Missing rating categories	A submitter noted that one of its rating scales does not include the rating categories related to restricted default and default	The ESAs agreed to reflect those rating categories, restricted default and default, considering their mapping is associated by definition to the credit quality step denoting the lowest creditworthiness.	Inclusion of the restricted default and default rating categories to the mapping of the relevant credit rating scale		
Editorial changes to a mapping report.	A submitter provided editorial changes to its mapping report.	The ESAs agreed to adjust the relevant mapping report to reflect the editorial changes, which leave the substance of that mapping report unchanged.	Adjustment of the relevant mapping report to reflect the editorial changes		
Information on withdrawn ratings	A submitter requested further transparency on the treatment of	The treatment of withdrawn ratings in the quantitative analysis of the credit assessment is explained in recital (14) and Article 4(3) of the Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799.	No change		







JOINT COMMITTEE OF THE EUROPEAN SUPERVISORY AUTHORITIES

Comments	Summary of responses received	ESAs' analysis	Amendments to the proposals
	withdrawn ratings in the mapping process.	In addition, to enhance transparency, the ESAs publish mapping reports illustrating how the methodology is applied to derive the mapping.	
		Information on withdrawn ratings is provided directly by ECAIs to ESMA as per the reporting obligations in the CRA Regulation.	