Discussion of: "Regulating the Doom Loop"

by Spyros Alogoskoufis and Sam Langfield

Inês Drumond • Banco de Portugal 29 November 2018

2018 EBA Policy Research Workshop



Summary

- The paper simulates reallocations of euro area banks' sovereign bond holdings under different potential regulatory regimes:
 - ✓ Price-based vs quantity-based tools
 - ✓ Targeted at *concentration* or *credit risk*

2 X 2 matrix

Objective

Shed light on the two following questions:

- Would regulatory reforms on banks' sovereign exposures induce banks to reduce concentration in those exposures?
- Would reforms reduce banks' exposures to sovereign credit risk?



Summary

Three key assumptions:

- Aggregate holdings of euro area sovereign bonds are inelastic with respect to their regulatory treatment.
- Banks prefer to maintain their current composition of sovereign bonds.
- Banks' portfolio composition is elastic with respect to regulation.



In response to regulatory reform, banks retain their aggregate sovereign bond holdings but adjust portfolio composition to minimize capital requirements.

Results:

- **Tension in regulatory design** between lowering concentration and lowering credit risk.
- The existence of a euro-denominated asset that embeds both low concentration and low credit risk would make financial markets more complete by expanding the set of investible securities.

Comments

- Based on the simulation results (section 5 of the paper), would it be adequate to conclude that quantity-based tools may be less effective than price-based tools in achieving the aforementioned objectives (and that the former may even lead to "unintended consequences")?
 - ✓ "quantity-based tools to target credit risk can be less effective than pricebased tools in reducing credit risk exposures, including price-based tools that are calibrated to target concentration."
 - ✓ Quantity-based tools to target credit risk: credit risk may even increase in some scenarios.
 - ✓ Quantity-based tools to target concentration: the increase in sovereign credit risk may be quite substantial.
- Can the results of the paper be used in the debate about the regulatory treatment of an **area-wide low-risk assets** (Sovereign Bond-Backed Securities)?



Comments

• Is the simulation method, including the three reinvestment rules (prudent/base/imprudent cases), adequate/sufficient to assess the adjustment in banks' portfolio composition taking into account, not only the regulatory requirements, but other factors that influence such composition (such as the expected return)?

General vs Partial Equilibrium Analysis

✓ The literature review shows that there are many trade-offs underlying the introduction of the regulatory treatment of the sovereign.



- ✓ General equilibrium discussion may be needed.
- ✓ e.g.: market depth for public debt and reallocation of bank sovereign exposures across banks; deleveraging needs; interaction with other regulatory requirements (e.g., liquidity requirements); impact on national sovereign debt markets, especially in periods of stress.



Comments

Normal vs Crisis Times

- Any potential change to the RTSE should take into consideration its impact, not only in normal times, but also in crisis times.
- Which shock absorbers would be in place to replace the role that domestic banks played in the past, taking into account that the Banking Union is still incomplete?

