Other CEBS draft proposals

CEBS public hearing

Dwayne Price | 17 June 2008

Committee of European Banking Supervisors



Own funds

3. Allows the use of alternative methodologies for <u>consolidation</u> - Annex I Conglomerates Dir - for the calculation of capital adequacy instead of the deduction from own funds of certain participations and capital instruments

CEBS proposal is to transform into a supervisory decision the use of method 1, 2 or 3 (with similar modifications to the CRD as the FCD contains); The use of method 1 is a supervisory decision part of the approval process

CEBS welcomes participants' feedback:

- whether the drafting proposal narrows the scope of application of the current ND
- CEBS should consider the IWCFC and the EFCC work (assessing the methods and their current advice is to delete method 3)

Standardised Approach (1)

31. If conditions are met, lower risk weighting for past due exposures secured by mortgages on residential property - permissive treatment

CEBS proposal is to:

- remove the provision from the CRD with a short transitional clause
 OR
- keep as supervisory decision (with added binding mutual recognition)

CEBS welcomes participants' input for the cost/benefit analysis

Standardised Approach (2)

33. Lower risk weights for the regulatory high risk categories due to value adjustments - permissive treatment

CEBS proposal is to:

- remove the provision from the CRD with a short transitional clause
 OR
- deleting the discretionary part of the provision

CEBS welcomes participants' input for the cost/benefit analysis

Securitisation

59. To simplify the computation of the Supervisory Formula method in the case of securitisations involving retail exposures

CEBS proposal is to:

- delete the discretionary part of the ND, making this an option for CI
 OR
- removing completely the provision from the CRD

CEBS welcomes participants' feedback on:

- the need to keep this provision
- a possible definition of 'predominantly'

Op Risk

61. and **63.** Allows for an improved basis for assessing op risk in the institutions that meet the criteria - permissive treatment

CEBS proposal is to **keep** both provisions as **supervisory decisions** to be applied **on a case by case basis**

CEBS welcomes participants' feedback on:

- costs and benefits of the proposal
- Alternative Standardised Approach (ASA)
 - question of risk sensitivity
 - is relevant at least on a consolidated basis
 - exists in third countries; should be available also in the EU
 - is already being applied in a number of cases



Committee of European Banking Supervisors