

JC /CP/2023 15

25 May 2023

Consultation Paper

Draft implementing technical standards amending Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 on the mapping of ECAs' credit assessments under Article 136(1) and (3) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013

Contents

1. Responding to this consultation	3
2. Executive Summary	4
3. Background and rationale	6
4. Draft implementing technical standards amending Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 on the mapping of ECAIS' credit assessment under Article 136(1) and (3) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013	8
5. Accompanying documents	19
5.1 Draft Cost-Benefit Analysis/Impact Assessment	19

1. Responding to this consultation

The ESAs invite comments on all proposals put forward in this paper.

Comments are most helpful if they:

- indicate the specific point to which a comment relates;
- contain a clear rationale;
- provide evidence to support the views expressed/rationale proposed; and
- describe any alternative regulatory choices that the ESAs should consider.

Submission of responses

To submit your comments, click on the 'Send your comments' button on the consultation page on the EBA's website by 26.06.2023. Please note that comments submitted after this deadline, or submitted via other means may not be processed.

Publication of responses

Please clearly indicate in the consultation form if you wish your comments to be disclosed or to be treated as confidential. A confidential response may be requested from us in accordance with the ESAs' rules on public access to documents. We may consult you if we receive such a request. Any decision we make not to disclose the response is reviewable by the ESAs' Board of Appeal and the European Ombudsman.

Data protection

The protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the ESAs is based on Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 as implemented by the ESAs in the implementing rules adopted by their Management Board. Further information on data protection can be found under the [Legal notice section](#) of the EBA website and under the [Legal notice section](#) of the EIOPA website and under the [Legal notice section](#) of the ESMA website.

2. Executive Summary

The Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR)¹ establishes that risk weights under the Standardised Approach should be based on the exposure class to which the exposure is assigned and, if applicable, its credit quality determined by reference to the credit assessments of External Credit Assessment Institutions (ECAIs). The Joint Committee (JC) of the European Supervisory Authorities (ESAs) is mandated under Article 136(1) of the CRR to provide a correspondence ('mapping') between relevant credit assessments of ECAIs and Credit Quality Steps (CQS), as set out in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title II of Part Three of the CRR, where reference is made to the relevant risk weights for the calculation of credit risk capital requirements under the Standardised Approach.

According to Article 136(1) CRR, 'mappings' should be specified for all ECAIs, which are defined according to Article 4(98) CRR as Credit Rating Agencies (CRAs) registered or certified in accordance with the CRA Regulation^{2,3} or a central bank issuing credit ratings that are exempt from the application of CRA Regulation.

The European Commission adopted on 7 October 2016 Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 ('the Implementing Regulation')⁴ laying down Implementing Technical Standards with regard to the mapping of credit assessments of ECAIs for credit risk. Annex III of the Implementing Regulation provided mapping tables for 26 ECAIs, which covered one central bank and all the CRAs registered or certified in accordance with the CRA Regulation at the time the ESAs started preparing the draft ITS.

This Implementing Regulation was subsequently amended on 25 April 2018,⁵ to incorporate mappings for the five new ECAIs, that had been registered or certified after the ESAs submitted the original draft ITS to the Commission, and to remove references to a de-registered ECAI, thereby providing in total mappings for 30 ECAIs. Further, a second amendment was adopted on 29 November 2019⁶ to reflect a monitoring exercise on the adequacy of existing mappings, which was based on objective quantitative and qualitative information collected since the original mappings were produced. Finally, a third amendment was adopted on 16 November 2021⁷ to reflect the production of mappings for two newly established ECAIs, together with an updated mapping for 9 ECAIs following another monitoring exercise.

¹ Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms. OJ L 176, 27.6.2013, p. 1.

² Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of 16 September 2009 on credit rating agencies (OJ L 302, 17.11.2009, p. 1-33). <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:02009R1060-20150621>.

³ Please refer to the ESMA website for a list of CRAs registered or certified in accordance with the CRA Regulation. <https://www.esma.europa.eu/supervision/credit-rating-agencies/risk>

⁴ Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 of 7 October 2016 (OJ L 275, 12.10.2016, p.3-18)

⁵ Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/634 of 24 April 2018 (OJ L 105, 25.4.2018, p. 14–20).

⁶ Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/2028 of 29 November 2019 (OJ L 313, 4.12.2019, p. 34–40).

⁷ Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2006 of 16 November 2021 (OJ L 407, 17.11.2021, p. 18–26).

Since the third amendment to the draft ITS was developed, ESMA has withdrawn the registration of one ECAI.⁸ Further, the JC of the ESAs is required to monitor the existing mappings and has therefore analysed whether the mapping of existing ECAIs remains appropriate. The monitoring has identified that the existing mapping tables of the ITS are to be amended for 10 ECAIs, either as a result of i) changes in the allocation of CQS due to an updated assessment of risk in line with the EBA methodology, based on additional information collected since the last amendment was produced, ii) assignment of mappings for newly introduced or replaced credit rating scales by existing ECAIs, or iii) changes in the name of the CRA or the rating scale/ rating category symbols.

The Implementing Regulation will therefore need to be amended accordingly. The revised draft ITS will propose amendments to the Mapping tables specified in Annex III of the Implementing Regulation. The following changes will be made:

- Removal from the mapping table of the CRA that has lost ECAI status following its deregistration as a CRA under the CRA Regulation,⁹ and reflection of name changes of two registered entities.¹⁰
- Amendments due to the re-allocation of CQS (EthiFinance ratings S.A., Creditreform Ratings AG, ICAP CRIF S.A., Nordic Credit Rating AS) and amendments due to new or amended credit rating scales (ARC Ratings S.A., Banque de France, Bulgarian Credit Rating Agency (BCRA), EthiFinance ratings S.A., Kroll Bond Ratings Agency, Qivalio SAS and Scope Ratings GmbH).

Individual mapping reports are also published on the EBA website, including for those ECAIs that i) expanded to additional SA exposure classes or credit assessment types within their existing structure of credit rating scales or ii) updated their qualitative factors: EthiFinance Ratings S.L., Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited, GBB- Rating Gesellschaft fuer Bonitaetsbeurteilung and Scope Ratings GmbH.

⁸ Please refer to the ESMA website for a list of de-registered or de-certified CRAs:

<https://www.esma.europa.eu/supervision/credit-rating-agencies/risk>

⁹ As per CRR Article 4(1) subparagraph 98, 'external credit assessment institution' or 'ECAI' means a credit rating agency that is registered or certified in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 on credit rating agencies or a central bank issuing credit ratings which are exempt from the application of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009.

¹⁰ "Axesor Risk Management S.L." has been renamed "EthiFinance Ratings" and "ICAP S.A." has been renamed "ICAP CRIF S.A."

3. Background and rationale

The nature of ITS under EU law

This draft ITS are produced in accordance with Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 (EBA regulation),¹¹ Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1094/2010 (EIOPA Regulation)¹² and Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1095/2010 (ESMA Regulation).¹³

Background

As stated in Article 135(1) CRR, external credit assessments can only be used if they have been provided by an ECAI. ECAIs are defined as credit rating agencies registered or certified in accordance with the CRA Regulation or any central bank issuing credit ratings, and that are exempt from the application of the CRA Regulation.¹⁴ Since the third amendment to the draft ITS was developed:

- One CRA has lost ECAI status following its deregistration as a CRA under the CRA Regulation,¹⁵ and two registered entities have been renamed.¹⁶

Furthermore, in line with recital 24 of the Implementing Regulation, which stipulates that compliance with the CRR is required at all times and it is therefore necessary to monitor the performance of the mappings on a continuous basis, the adequacy of the existing mappings have also been reviewed. The performance of the mappings has been monitored based on the additional quantitative information collected after the Implementing Regulation entered into force and on the qualitative developments registered by the ECAIs. While ESMA updates the Central Repository of credit rating (CEREP) database infrastructure, expected in the course of 2023, the ESAs have conducted technical meetings and developed a bridge interim solution from ESMA's CEREP and Ratings Data Repository (RADAR) that allows for the transmission of relevant statistics on ratings performance in an aggregate manner for this ITS amendment.

As a result of this monitoring exercise, the Implementing Regulation needs to be amended to reflect developments on credit rating scales and the allocation of CQS for those ECAIs that have experienced changes in the quantitative or qualitative factors of their credit assessments.

¹¹ Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 establishing a European Supervisory Authority (European Banking Authority), amending Decision No 716/2009/EC and repealing Commission Decision 2009/78/EC (OJ L 331, 15.12.2010, p.12).

¹² Regulation (EU) No 1094/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 establishing a European Supervisory Authority (European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority), amending Decision No 716/2009/EC and repealing Commission Decision 2009/79/EC (OJ L 331, 15.12.2010, p. 48).

¹³ Regulation (EU) No 1095/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 establishing a European Supervisory Authority European Securities and Markets Authority), amending Decision No 716/2009/EC and repealing Commission Decision 2009/77/EC (OJ L 331, 15.12.2010, p. 84).

¹⁴ CRR Article 4(1) subparagraph 98.

¹⁵ Please refer to the ESMA website for a list of de-registered or de-certified CRAs:
<https://www.esma.europa.eu/supervision/credit-rating-agencies/risk>

¹⁶ "Axesor Risk Management S.L." was renamed "EthiFinance Ratings S.L." and "ICAP S.A." was renamed "ICAP CRIF S.A."

- Amendments due to re-allocation of credit quality steps: Nordic Credit Rating AS, EthiFinance ratings S.L., ICAP CRIF S.A, Creditreform Ratings AG.
- Amendments due to changes in credit rating scales: ARC Ratings S.A., Banque de France, Bulgarian Credit Rating Agency (BCRA), EthiFinance ratings S.L., Kroll Bond Ratings Agency, Qivalio SAS and Scope Ratings GmbH.

Individual mapping reports are also published on the EBA's website, including for those ECAIs that expanded to additional SA exposure classes or credit assessment types within their existing structure of credit rating scales, or those that updated their qualitative factors: EthiFinance Ratings S.L., Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited, GBB- Rating Gesellschaft fuer Bonitaetsbeurteilung and Scope Ratings GmbH.

Structure of the ITS

This revised draft ITS amend Annex III of the Implementing Regulation to take into account the performance of existing ECAIs' mappings and their adequacy, together with the removal of the mapping of a deregistered ECAI. This is in line with the second subparagraph of Article 136(1) CRR, which states that revised draft ITS shall be submitted where necessary.

Together with the revised draft ITS, individual amended mapping reports are also published on the EBA's website.

4. Draft implementing technical standards amending Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 on the mapping of ECAIs' credit assessment under Article 136(1) and (3) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013

**COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) No .../... amending
Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 as regards the mapping tables specifying the
correspondence between the credit risk assessments of external credit assessment
institutions and the credit quality steps set out in Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the
European Parliament and of the Council**

of **XXX**

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms¹⁷, and in particular the first subparagraph of Article 136(1) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799¹⁸ specifies, in its Annex III, the correspondence of the relevant credit assessments issued by an external credit assessment institution ('ECAI') to the credit quality steps set out in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title II of Part Three of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 ('mapping').
- (2) Since the latest amendments, by Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2005¹⁹, to Annex III to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799, the quantitative and qualitative factors underpinning the credit assessments of some mappings in Annex III to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 have changed. In addition, some ECAIs have extended their credit assessments to new market segments, resulting in new rating scales and new credit rating types. It is therefore necessary to update the mappings of the ECAIs concerned.
- (3) Since the adoption of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2005, one ECAI for which Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 provided a mapping has been deregistered. As Article 136(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 requires the specification of mappings for all ECAIs, that Regulation should be amended to remove the mapping for the deregistered ECAI.
- (4) In addition, two ECAIs registered in accordance with Articles 14 to 18 of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009, and for which a mapping was provided in Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799, have changed their name and three registered ECAIs amended the symbols used to denote their rating categories of its rating scales. It is therefore necessary to amend the mapping for those ECAIs to reflect the name changes and current symbols used by them.

¹⁷ OJ L 176, 27.6.2013, p. 1.

¹⁸ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 of 7 October 2016 laying down implementing technical standards with regard to the mapping of credit assessments of external credit assessment institutions for credit risk in accordance with Articles 136(1) and 136(3) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 275, 12.10.2016, p. 3).

¹⁹ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2005 of 16 November 2021 amending Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 as regards the mapping tables specifying the correspondence between the credit risk assessments of external credit assessment institutions and the credit quality steps set out in Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council ([OJ L 407, 17.11.2021, p. 10-17](#)).

- (5) This Regulation is based on the draft implementing technical standards submitted by the European Banking Authority, the European Securities and Markets Authority and the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority jointly (the European Supervisory Authorities) to the Commission.
- (6) The European Supervisory Authorities have conducted open public consultations on the draft implementing technical standards, analysed the potential related costs and benefits and requested the opinion of the Banking Stakeholder Group established in accordance with Article 37 of Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council²⁰; the opinion of the Securities and Markets Stakeholder Group established in accordance with Article 37 of Regulation (EU) No 1095/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council²¹; and the opinion of the Insurance and Reinsurance Stakeholder Group established in accordance with Article 37 of Regulation (EU) No 1094/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council.²²
- (7) Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 should therefore be amended accordingly,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1
Amendment to Regulation (EU) 2016/1799

Annex III to Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 is replaced by the text set out in the Annex to this Regulation.

Article 2
Entry into force

This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels,

For the Commission
The President

²⁰ Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 establishing a European Supervisory Authority (European Banking Authority), amending Decision No 716/2009/EC and repealing Commission Decision 2009/78/EC (OJ L 331, 15.12.2010, p. 12).

²¹ Regulation (EU) No 1095/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 establishing a European Supervisory Authority (European Securities and Markets Authority), amending Decision No 716/2009/EC and repealing Commission Decision 2009/77/EC (OJ L 331, 15.12.2010, p. 84).

²² Regulation (EU) No 1094/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 establishing a European Supervisory Authority (European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority), amending Decision No 716/2009/EC and repealing Commission Decision 2009/79/EC (OJ L 331, 15.12.2010, p. 48).

ANNEX

ANNEX III

Mapping tables for the purposes of Article 16

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
<i>A.M. Best (EU) Rating Services B.V.</i>						
Long-term issuer credit rating scale	aaa, aa+, aa, aa-	a+, a, a-	bbb+, bbb, bbb-	bb+, bb, bb-	b+, b, b-	ccc+, ccc, ccc-, cc, c, d, e, f, s
Long-term issue rating scale	aaa, aa+, aa, aa-	a+, a, a-	bbb+, bbb, bbb-	bb+, bb, bb-	b+, b, b-	ccc+, ccc, ccc-, cc, c, d, s
Financial strength rating scale	A++, A+	A, A-	B++, B+	B, B-	C++, C+	C, C-, D, E, F, S
Short-term issuer rating scale	AMB-1+	AMB-1-	AMB-2, AMB-3	AMB- 4, d, e, f, s		
Short-term issue rating scale	AMB-1+	AMB-1-	AMB-2, AMB-3	AMB- 4, d, s		
<i>ARC Ratings S.A.</i>						
Medium- and long-term issuer rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D
Medium- and long-term issue rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D
Insurance Financial Strength Rating Criteria scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Short-term issuer rating scale	A-1+	A-1	A-2, A-3	B, C, D		
Short-term issue rating scale	A-1+	A-1	A-2, A-3	B, C, D		
<i>ASSEKURATA Assekuranz Rating-Agentur GmbH</i>						
Long-term credit rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC/C, D
Short-term corporate rating scale	A++	A		B, C, D		
<i>Banque de France</i>						
Global ANACOT long-term issuer credit rating scale	1+	1, 1-	2+, 2, 2-	3+, 3, 3-, 4+, 4, 4-, 5+	5, 5-, 6+, 6, 6-	7, 8, P
<i>BCRA — Credit Rating Agency AD</i>						
Global long-term rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D
Global short-term rating scale	A-1+	A-1	A-2, A-3	B, C, D		
Pension-insurance company long-term scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D
Pension-insurance company short-term scale	A-1+	A-1	A-2, A-3	B, C, D		
Pension fund long-term scale	AAA pf, AA pf	A pf	BBB pf	BB pf	B pf	C pf
<i>Capital Intelligence Ratings Ltd</i>						
International long-term issuer rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	C, RS, SD, D

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
International long-term issue rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D
International long-term insurer financial strength rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	C, RS, SD, D
International short-term issuer rating scale	A1+	A1	A2, A3	B, C, RS, SD, D		
International short-term issue rating scale	A1+	A1	A2, A3	B, C, D		
International short-term insurer financial strength rating scale	A1+	A1	A2, A3	B, C, RS, SD, D		
<i>Cerved Rating Agency S.p.A.</i>						
Corporate long-term rating scale	A1.1, A1.2, A1.3	A2.1, A2.2, A3.1	B1.1, B1.2	B2.1, B2.2	C1.1	C1.2, C2.1
Corporate short-term rating scale	S-1	S-2	S-3	V-1, R-1		
<i>Creditreform Rating AG</i>						
Long-term issuer rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	C, SD, D
Long-term issue rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	C, D
Short-term rating scale	L1	L2	L3	NEL, D		
<i>CRIF Ratings S.r.l.</i>						
Long-term issuer rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D1S, D

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Long-term issue rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, DS
SME rating scale	SME1, SME2		SME3	SME4	SME5, SME6	SME7, SME8
Short-term issuer rating scale	IG-1		IG-2	SIG-1, SIG-2, SIG-3, SIG-4		
Short-term issue rating scale	IG-1		IG-2	SIG-1, SIG-2, SIG-3, SIG-4		
<i>DBRS Ratings GmbH</i>						
Long-term obligations rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D
Commercial paper and short-term debt rating scale	R-1 H, R-1 M	R-1 L	R-2, R-3	R-4, R-5, D		
Financial strength rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, R
Expected loss rating scale	AAA(el), AA(el)	A(el)	BBB(el)	BB(el)	B(el)	CCC(el), CC(el), C(el)
<i>Egan-Jones Ratings Co.</i>						
Long-term credit rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D
Short-term credit rating scale	A-1+	A-1	A-2	A-3, B, C, D		
<i>EthiFinance S.L. (formerly Axesor Risk Management S.L.)</i>						
Global long-term rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Global short-term rating scale	EF1+		EF1, EF2	EF3, EF4 EF5		
<i>EuroRating Sp. z o.o.</i>						
Global long-term rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D
<i>Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited</i>						
Long-term issuer default rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, RD, D
Corporate finance obligations — long-term rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C
Long-term international Insurer Financial Strength rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C
Derivative counterparty rating scale	AAA dcr, AA dcr	A dcr	BBB dcr	BB dcr	B dcr	CCC dcr, CC dcr, C dcr
Short-term rating scale	F1+	F1	F2, F3	B, C, RD, D		
Short-term IFS rating scale	F1+	F1	F2, F3	B, C		
<i>GBB-Rating Gesellschaft für Bonitätsbeurteilung GmbH</i>						
Global long-term rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D
<i>HR Ratings de México, S.A. de C.V.</i>						

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Global long-term rating scale	HR AAA(G)/HR AA(G)	HR A(G)	HR BBB(G)	HR BB(G)	HR B(G)	HR C(G)/HR D(G)
Global short-term rating scale	HR+1(G)/HR1(G)	HR2(G)	HR3(G)	HR4(G), HR5(G), HR D(G)		
<i>ICAP CRIF S.A.</i>						
Global long-term issuer rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B, CCC	CC, C, D
Global long-term issue rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B, CCC	CC, C, D
<i>INBONIS S.A.</i>						
Long-term rating scale	AAA/AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D
<i>Japan Credit Rating Agency Ltd</i>						
Long-term issuer rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, LD, D
Long-term issue rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D
Short-term issuer rating scale	J-1+	J-1	J-2	J-3, NJ, LD, D		
Short-term issue credit rating scale	J-1+	J-1	J-2	J-3, NJ, D		
<i>Kroll Bond Rating Agency Europe Limited</i>						
Long-term credit rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D
Short-term credit rating scale	K1+	K1	K2, K3	B, C, D		

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Insurance Financial Strength rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D
<i>modeFinance S.r.l.</i>						
Global long-term rating scale	A1, A2	A3	B1	B2	B3	C1, C2, C3, D
<i>Moody's Investors Service</i>						
Global long-term rating scale	Aaa, Aa	A	Baa	Ba	B	Caa, Ca, C
Global short-term rating scale	P-1	P-2	P-3	NP		
<i>Nordic Credit Rating AS</i>						
Long-term rating scale	AAA/AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D, SD
Short-term rating scale	N1	N2	N3	N4, N5, N6		
<i>QIVALIO SAS (formerly Spread Research)</i>						
Global long-term rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D
Global short-term rating scale	EF1+		EF1, EF2	EF3, EF4, EF5, EFD		
<i>Scope Ratings GmbH</i>						
Long-term rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D/SD

Credit quality step	1	2	3	4	5	6
Short-term rating scale	S-1+	S-1	S-2	S-3, S-4, D/SD		
<i>Scope Hamburg GmbH</i>						
Global long-term rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D/SD
<i>S&P Global Ratings Europe Limited</i>						
Long-term issuer credit rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, R, SD/D
Long-term issue credit rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, C, D
Insurer financial strength rating scale	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, SD/D, R
Long-term Financial Institution Resolution Counterparty Ratings	AAA, AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC, CC, SD, D
Mid-Market Evaluation rating scale		MM1	MM2	MM3, MM4	MM5, MM6	MM7, MM8, MMD
Short-term issuer credit rating scale	A-1+	A-1	A-2, A-3	B, C, R, SD/D		
Short-term issue credit rating scale	A-1+	A-1	A-2, A-3	B, C, D		
Short-term Financial Institution Resolution Counterparty Ratings	A-1+	A-1	A-2, A-3	B, C, SD/D		

5. Accompanying documents

5.1 Draft Cost-Benefit Analysis/Impact Assessment

Procedural issues

In accordance with the Regulations of the three ESAs, an analysis of costs and benefits is conducted when drafting ITS, unless the analysis is disproportionate in relation to the scope and impact of the draft ITS concerned.

Problem identification

According to Article 135(1) of the CRR, an external credit assessment may be used to determine the risk weight of an exposure under the Standardised Approach only if it has been issued by an ECAI or has been endorsed by an ECAI in accordance with the CRA Regulation.

Recital 98 of the CRR advises that the ECAI market should be open to more credit rating agencies given the dominance of three undertakings. Accordingly, Article 4(98) of the CRR automatically recognises credit rating agencies registered or certified in accordance with the CRA Regulation as ECAIs. Central banks issuing credit ratings which are exempt from the application of the CRA Regulation are also recognised as ECAIs.

The usage of external credit ratings for determining own fund requirements requires a mapping between the credit assessments issued by an ECAI and the Credit Quality Steps (CQS) of the Standardised Approach set out in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title II of Part Three of the CRR.

Mappings should be made available for all existing credit rating agencies registered or certified in accordance with the CRA Regulation and to central banks producing ratings that are not subject to that Regulation.

Recital 24 of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 points out that, given the fact that compliance with Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 is required at all times, it is necessary to monitor the performance of the mapping on a continuous basis and implement amendments in case of de-/registrations under the CRA Regulation.

Policy objectives

The main objective of the present revised draft ITS is to amend the Implementing Regulation to include changes in the mappings that have occurred since the third amendment to the draft ITS on Mapping was produced. As a result, the specific objectives of the revised draft ITS are to amend Annex III on the mapping tables in order to:

- Remove the mapping for one deregistered ECAI: Rating-Agentur Expert RA GmbH.
- Reflect name changes of two registered entities: Ethifinance ratings S.L. and ICAP CRIF S.A.

- Reflect amendments due to re-allocation of credit quality steps: Creditreform Ratings AG, EthiFinance ratings S.L., ICAP CRIF S.A and Nordic Credit Rating AS.
- Register amendments due to changes in credit rating scales: ARC Ratings S.A., Banque de France, Bulgarian Credit Rating Agency (BCRA), EthiFinance ratings S.L., Kroll Bond Ratings Agency, Nordic Credit Rating AS, Qivalio SAS and Scope Ratings GmbH.

Figure 1: Deregistered ECAI

ECAI	Country of residence	Status
Rating-Agentur Expert RA GmbH	Germany	Deregistered

Figure 2: Renamed ECAI

ECAI	Previous name	Country of residence
EthiFinance ratings S.L.	Axesor Risk Management S.L.	Spain
ICAP CRIF S.A.	ICAP S.A.	Greece

Figure 3: Mapping amendments from the monitoring exercise

ECAI	Amendments to:	
	Credit Quality Steps Allocation	Credit rating scales
ARC Ratings S.A.	No	Yes
Banque de France	No	Yes
Bulgarian Credit Rating Agency	No	Yes
Creditreform Ratings AG	Yes	No
EthiFinance ratings S.L.	Yes	Yes
ICAP CRIF S.A.	Yes	No
Kroll Bond Rating Agency	No	Yes
Nordic Credit Rating As	Yes	Yes
Qivalio SAS	No	Yes
Scope Ratings GmbH	No	Yes

Individual mapping reports are also published on the EBA website, including for those ECAIs that expanded to additional SA exposure classes or credit assessment types within their existing structure of credit rating scales, or for those ECAIs that amended their qualitative factors: Ethifinance Ratings S.L., Fitch Ratings, GBB- Rating Gesellschaft fuer Bonitaetsbeurteilung and Scope Ratings GmbH.

Notwithstanding the principle stated in the previous paragraphs, the G-20 conclusions and the Financial Stability Board (FSB) principles for reducing reliance on external credit ratings should also be taken into account. Therefore, although the analysis behind the 'mapping' of each ECAI and its regular monitoring over time should alleviate any mechanistic overreliance of the credit risk rules on external ratings, institutions should be encouraged to use internal ratings rather than external credit ratings, even for the purpose of calculating own fund requirements as a way to reduce overreliance on external credit ratings.

These ITS will contribute to a common understanding among institutions and the EU's national competent authorities about the methodology that the Joint Committee should use to specify the 'mappings'. Given that the mappings of any ECAI will be equally applicable in all EU Member States, these ITS will also contribute to ensure a high level of harmonisation and consistent practice in this area and contribute to achieving the objectives in the CRR of enhancing the risk sensitivity of the credit risk rules.

Baseline scenario

The Commission adopted on 7 October 2016 the Implementing Regulation laying down ITS with regard to the mapping of credit assessments of ECAIs for credit risk in accordance with Articles 136(1) and 136(3) of the CRR.¹

That Implementing Regulation provided mapping tables for 26 ECAIs, which covered one central bank and all the CRAs registered or certified in accordance with the CRA Regulation at the time the ESAs started preparing the draft ITS. The Implementing Regulation was subsequently amended on 25 April 2018² to incorporate mappings for the five new ECAIs, that had been registered or certified after the ESAs submitted the original draft ITS to the Commission, and to remove references to a de-registered ECAI, thereby providing in total mappings for 30 ECAIs. A second amendment was adopted on 29 November 2019³ to reflect a monitoring exercise on the adequacy of existing mappings, which was based on objective quantitative and qualitative information collected since the original mappings were produced. The third and most recent amendment was adopted on 16 November 2021⁴ to reflect the production of mappings for two newly established ECAIs, together with an updated mapping for 9 ECAIs identified by the monitoring exercise.

¹ Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1799 of 7 October 2016 (OJ L 275, 12.10.2016, p.3-18).

² Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/634 of 24 April 2018 (OJ L 105, 25.4.2018, p. 14–20).

³ Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/2028 of 29 November 2019 (OJ L 313, 4.12.2019, p. 34–40).

⁴ Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2006 of 16 November 2021 (OJ L 407, 17.11.2021, p. 18–26).

Options considered

The ITS are to be amended following Article 136(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013, which requires the specification of mappings for all ECAs. Therefore, one deregistered ECAI was removed from the mapping. Further, given the fact that compliance with Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 is required at all times, it is necessary to monitor the performance of the mapping on a continuous basis. The current draft ITS aims to amend the Implementing Regulation to include changes in the mappings resulting from this continuous monitoring.

The elements that describe the degree of risk expressed by a credit assessment of an ECAI (quantitative and qualitative factors) and the levels of risk that should be used to define each credit quality step (“benchmarks”) remain unchanged with respect to the Implementing Regulation adopted by the Commission in October 2016.

Regarding the monitoring exercise, the triggers are based on the quantitative and qualitative factors specified in the Implementing Regulation. Additionally, ECAs may have extended their credit assessments to new segments and the associated new rating scales and/or new credit rating types will need to be reflected accordingly in the mapping reports. Finally, ECAs may have made amendments to existing credit rating scales.

Quantitative factors to calibrate the mapping are drawn from statistics on the rating activity and the rating performance of ECAs produced by ESMA (CEREP⁵ and RADAR⁶), based on the information provided by the ECAs as part of their reporting obligations.⁷ Currently, the latest data available cover up to the reference date 30 June 2021.

Qualitative factors are taken into account to produce the mapping, as per Article 136(2) CRR. Further, recital 11 of the Implementing Regulation specifies that both quantitative and qualitative factors should be used to produce a mapping, with the qualitative factors being considered in a second stage, as and when necessary and especially where quantitative factors are not adequate. Following this approach, changes in qualitative factors are assigned lower priority with respect to quantitative factors.

The qualitative factors identified in the Implementing Regulation are:

- the definition of default considered by the ECAI;
- the time horizon of a rating category considered by the ECAI;
- the meaning of a rating category and its relative position within the rating scale;
- the creditworthiness of the items assigned the same rating category;
- the estimate provided by the ECAI of the long run default rate;
- the relationship established by the ECAI (‘internal mapping’), where available, between on the one hand, the rating category which is being mapped, and on the other hand, other rating

⁵ <https://cerp.esma.europa.eu/cerp-web/>

⁶ [Commission Delegated Regulation \(EU\) 2015/2 RADAR RTS.](#)

⁷ Article 11(2) of the CRA Regulation.

categories produced by the same ECAI, where a mapping for the latter categories has already been set out;

- any other relevant information that can describe the degree of risk expressed by a rating category.

Moreover, as noted in recital 26 of the Implementing Regulation, in March 2016 the Commission notified the JC of the ESAs of its intention to endorse the draft ITS⁸ with amendments, which affected the level of conservatism of the mapping. An Opinion was issued by the ESAs rejecting the amendments proposed by the Commission.⁹

However, as indicated in recital 27 of the Implementing Regulation, the Commission proceeded to amend the draft ITS in respect of some provisions that relate to smaller/newer ECAIs that entered the market recently. As a result, the Commission did not adopt the more conservative treatment in cases of limited data, after the end of the phase-in period in 2019. Therefore, the approach of an “indefinitely extended” phase-in period is also adopted here.

E. Assessment of the options

Costs

The mappings, as well as their review of adequacy, are produced following the methodology adopted by the Commission. The Commission highlights the need to avoid the automatic application of a more conservative mapping to all ECAIs which did not produce sufficient ratings, for the sole reason that they did not produce sufficient ratings, without taking into account the quality of their ratings. Subsequently, qualitative factors were captured via the notifications sent to ESMA by the ECAIs as part of their reporting obligations under the CRA Regulation.

There are potential risks that ECAIs with insufficient ratings could leverage on the Commission’s amendments and produce credit assessments that are less conservative than the mapping would suggest. Less conservative credit assessments would be associated with lower risk weights under the Standardised Approach, which would result in an underestimation of own funds requirements.

Further, in order to limit the compliance costs with this Regulation by ECAIs, the qualitative and quantitative elements to derive the mapping have been sourced from the credit assessment information that CRAs are mandated to submit to ESMA as part of their reporting obligations under Regulation (EU) No 1060/2009 (CRA Regulation) and Regulation (EU) 2015/2. This contributes to reduce the reporting burden to ECAIs and ensures harmonization.

⁸ <http://www.eba.europa.eu/documents/10180/1269185/Final+Draft+ITS+on+ECAIs%27%20Mapping.pdf/3f4b46bb-825e-4211-b199-519b6b3bf865>

⁹ <http://www.eba.europa.eu/documents/10180/1359456/ESAs+2016+41+%28Joint+Opinion+on+EC+amend+ITS+ECAIs+Mapping+CRR%29.pdf>

Benefits

The inclusion of smaller ECAIs to the mapping exercise allows the use of those credit assessments for determining own fund requirements. This increases competition in the industry, where certain ECAIs exercise a significant market power.¹⁰

ECAIs having extended their credit assessments to new segments will see the associated new rating scales becoming operational for the purposes of risk-weight determination under the Standardised Approach. Moreover, ECAIs having amended, added or removed rating scales will have their mapping reflecting these changes.

Overall, these changes will benefit the financial sector by providing it with an accurate and updated picture of the correspondence between their credit assessments by ECAIs and the CQS of the Standardised Approach, which allows the use of those credit assessments for determining own fund requirements.

The analysis performed to arrive at each individual mapping and its regular monitoring over time should contribute to mitigate any mechanistic overreliance of the credit risk rules on external ratings, although due caution should continue to be exercised. This is one of the objectives of the CRR derived from the G-20 conclusions and the FSB principles for reducing reliance on external credit ratings.

Question for Consultation:

Do you agree with the proposed revised draft Implementing Technical Standard?

¹⁰ The market share is concentrated in three ECAIs that represent over 90% of the market. Market share calculation based on 2021 applicable turnover from credit rating activities and ancillary services in the EU. Please refer to: [Report on CRA market share](#) (ESMA, 2021).