# Climate stress tests, bank lending, and the transition to the carbon-neutral economy

Larissa Fuchs *(University of Cologne)* Huyen Nguyen *(IWH Halle and FSU Jena)* Trang Nguyen *(University of Bristol)* Klaus Schaeck *(University of Bristol)* 



2024 EBA POLICY RESEARCH WORKSHOP 6-7 November, Paris

### **Two research questions**

Using the French climate pilot exercise of 2020 as a natural experiment, carbon emissions, borrower environmental performance from Refinitiv, and syndicated loans from Dealscan, we ask:

#### How do climate stress tests affect credit supply and the cost of credit for 'brown' firms?

- Yes, without the climate pilot exercise, no evidence that banks reduce credit and ask for higher interest rates for brown firms.
- Climate stress tests serve as an information collection and production exercise.
  - Participating banks are better informed about how to evaluate transition risk in the long-run.
  - Banks increase loan volumes to brown firms but charge higher interest rates.
  - Participating banks collect new information about climate risks and are more likely to originate green loans for high carbon emitters.

#### Do climate stress tests lead to improvements in brown borrowers' environmental performance?

• Partially yes, but only in the short run.

Brown borrowers

- are more likely to have eco-friendly products, develop emission policies, commit to carbon emission reduction targets, have higher ESG scores, and use higher shares of renewable energy sources.
- However, they do not (yet) display improvements in direct carbon emission intensities, and they do not seem to "green" their supply chains.

### Data

- Sample includes all Euro-denominated syndicated loans to French borrowers (2015 2023) from LPC Dealscan.
- These loans are provided by French or foreign banks.
- SIC codes from 6000 to 6999 are removed (financial firms).
- We care about the lending decisions of lead arranger(s) only.
- Loan data merged with
  - Bank Compustat and Bank Focus to obtain lender characteristics.
  - Compustat Global for borrower characteristics.
  - Refinitiv data (2015 2023) on borrowers' carbon emissions and environmental performance.
- Conference call data and transcripts are from S&P Capital IQ.

# Banks' reactions to climate pilot exercise

	(1) Loan ame	(2) ount (Ln)	(3) Spread	(4) d (Ln)
Treat $\times$ High Emitter $\times$ Post	0.390** (0.190)	0.380** (0.186)	0.082** (0.031)	0.080** (0.033)
Treat $\times$ High Emitter	-0.326*	-0.321*	-0.050*	-0.049*
High Emitter $\times$ Post	(0.179) -0.039 (0.059)	(0.176) -0.039 (0.059)	(0.027) -0.034*** (0.009)	(0.028) -0.033** (0.013)
High Emitter	(0.033) -0.256 (0.520)	(0.033) -0.293 (0.512)	(0.009) $-0.394^{**}$ (0.163)	(0.013) $-0.419^{**}$ (0.167)
Observations	1,673	$1,\!673$	$1,\!673$	1,673
Bank FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Industry $\times$ Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Loan Type FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Adjusted $R^2$	0.855	0.856	0.906	0.907

First and third columns do not contain control variables

Following the climate pilot exercise

- loan volumes <u>increase</u> significantly for borrowers with greater transition risk.
- loan spreads also increase significantly.

Participating banks seem to aid borrowers in the transition towards greener activities but also adjust the risk pricing to reflect the greater transition risk in sticking with such borrowers.

# Does the pilot exercise aid the green transition?

	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Dependent Variable	P (G	reen)	Green	Share
Sample	All	All	All	All
$Treat \times High Emitter \times Post$	0.076*	0.091*	0.046**	0.043**
-	(0.041)	(0.051)	(0.022)	(0.018)
Treat $\times$ High Emitter	-0.025	-0.041	-0.026**	-0.023**
	(0.025)	(0.034)	(0.012)	(0.011)
High Emitter $\times$ Post	0.015	0.068	-0.112	-0.180**
	(0.154)	(0.145)	(0.075)	(0.069)
$Treat \times Post$	-0.015	-0.008	-0.008	-0.009
	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.006)	(0.010)
High Emitter	0.180	$0.197^{*}$	-0.035	-0.024
	(0.113)	(0.103)	(0.033)	(0.034)
Post	$-0.276^{***}$	$-0.287^{***}$	$-0.712^{***}$	-0.689***
	(0.097)	(0.084)	(0.054)	(0.091)
Observations	1,673	1,673	749	749
Bank FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Loan Type FE	Yes	Yes	No	No
Industry FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Industry $\times$ Year FE	No	No	No	No
Loan Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm Controls	No	Yes	No	Yes

After the climate pilot exercise,

treated banks are more likely to

provide a green loan for high

carbon emitters,

 the share of green loans over total loans that participating banks provide increases.

We define a loan to be for green purposes if its Loan Purpose Remark contains the following terms, words, or phrases:

- Wind Farms, Solar energy, Decarbonization, Sustainability-linked, Energy Efficiency, Renewable, Storage Battery, Science-based targets

# **Mechanism: Information Production (1)**

#### Snapshot on Earnings Calls Q2 2020, Societe Generale

we call a vulnerability indicator. And this, of course, it's a long-term view we are taking on the way clients are exposed to transition risk and what impact it would have, the fact that they would not adapt to the objective of climate change, and in particular, taking into account a scenario, which is the sustainable development scenario. So at this stage, for us, it's a way to work on stress test on climate risk. It doesn't lead into additional capital yet. But of course, it prepares us to handle all the upcoming stress tests, which are going to be led by regulators and supervisors. It's part also of our disclosures, and it will prepare us also in terms of segmentation of clients that are information and reporting when we will have to align also with the various regulations.

#### Snapshot on Earnings Calls Q2 2022, Societe Generale

but it may also expose some companies to another risk: that of losing value because of their incompatibility with the low carbon economy, a tire manufacturer without a green economy. So we've adopted different measures for measuring impact and assessing vulnerability to risk. And we carry our testing -- stress testing exercises for ourselves and under the leadership of regulators.

#### Snapshot on Earnings Calls Q2 2022, BNP Paribas

And we also created the low carbon transition group, which ultimately will comprise 250 professionals. And in terms of financing of renewable energy sources, we've exceeded our objective. We stand at EUR 18.6 billion at the end of 2021, and our ambition is to achieve EUR 30 billion in 2025. That's an increase of 70% compared with 2020. Also, we're maintaining our position in the top 3 positions worldwide regarding green bonds.

# **Mechanism: Information Production (2)**

This table reports how the climate pilot exercise affects the probability of banks discussing issues related to scenarios of climate stress tests, communication with borrowers on transition risk, and the number of times that banks discussed carbon emissions during earning calls. This data is hand-collected from earnings calls of all banks in our sample.

	(1) Mentioning Climate Stress Tests	(2) Communication with Borrowers on Transition Risk	(3) Discussion about Emissions
Post	0.031	0.018	-0.073
	(0.028)	(0.026)	(0.060)
Treat $\times$ Post	$0.045^{**}$	$0.097^{***}$	$0.513^{*}$
	(0.022)	(0.017)	(0.281)
Observations	1,125	1,125	1,125
Bank FE	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	0.035	0.053	0.041

# Firms' environmental performance: short term

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
	Eco-Friendly	ESG	Env.	Emission	Emission	Target	
	Product	Score	Score	Score	Policies	Emissions	
Treat $\times$ Post $\times$ High Emitter	$0.251^{*}$	0.129**	$0.155^{*}$	0.202**	0.232**	0.404**	
	(0.145)	(0.059)	(0.091)	(0.094)	(0.097)	(0.200)	
Treat $\times$ Post	-0.059	0.016	0.046	0.061**	-0.035	-0.008	
	(0.075)	(0.028)	(0.028)	(0.028)	(0.038)	(0.088)	
Treat $\times$ High Emitter	-0.203	0.009	0.086	0.105	0.120	-0.183	
	(0.196)	(0.072)	(0.092)	(0.112)	(0.107)	(0.191)	
Post $\times$ High Emitter	-0.196*	-0.110**	-0.108	-0.157*	-0.158*	-0.348*	
	(0.106)	(0.047)	(0.081)	(0.082)	(0.087)	(0.182)	
Treat	$0.264^{**}$	0.031	0.031	0.022	0.065	0.037	
	(0.108)	(0.040)	(0.050)	(0.050)	(0.046)	(0.106)	
High Emitter	0.114	-0.040	-0.094	-0.112	-0.147	0.196	
	(0.156)	(0.063)	(0.081)	(0.099)	(0.101)	(0.153)	
Observations	943	943	943	943	943	943	
Firm Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Industry $\times$ Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Adjusted $R^2$	0.305	0.140	0.221	0.151	0.595	0.244	
Number of Firms	151	151	151	151	151	151	
Clustering	Firm	Firm	Firm	Firm	Firm	Firm	

Following the climate pilot exercise, borrowers of participating banks are

- more likely to have eco-friendly products
- develop emission policies,
- commit to carbon emission reduction targets
- and have higher ESG, environmental and emission scores

# Firms' environmental performance: Long-term

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Renewable	Total	Direct	Supply	Termination of	Materials
	Energy	Emission	Emission	Chain	Env. Unf.	Sourcing
	(%)	Growth	Growth	Policy	Suppliers	Criteria
Treat $\times$ Post $\times$ High Emitter	$0.158^{*}$	-2.941	5.172	-0.022	0.076	0.241
	(0.084)	(13.116)	(9.987)	(0.097)	(0.149)	(0.155)
$Treat \times Post$	-0.014	2.091	-2.674	$0.142^{***}$	0.231**	-0.075
	(0.042)	(6.627)	(3.732)	(0.050)	(0.091)	(0.085)
Treat $\times$ High Emitter	0.029	-2.314	-5.117	0.176	0.145	-0.064
	(0.073)	(6.523)	(6.554)	(0.113)	(0.221)	(0.185)
Post $\times$ High Emitter	-0.066	-1.843	-8.287	0.027	-0.003	-0.142
	(0.065)	(10.719)	(8.031)	(0.085)	(0.117)	(0.130)
Treat	-0.006	4.142	4.297	0.040	-0.094	0.298***
	(0.045)	(3.967)	(2.660)	(0.070)	(0.117)	(0.106)
High Emitter	-0.059	-0.226	3.985	-0.115	-0.104	0.070
	(0.062)	(4.760)	(5.068)	(0.093)	(0.171)	(0.155)
Observations	943	943	943	943	943	943
Firm Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Industry $\times$ Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Adjusted $R^2$	0.150	0.024	0.011	0.458	0.153	0.330
Number of Firms	151	151	151	151	151	151
Clustering	Firm	Firm	Firm	Firm	Firm	Firm

# Borrowers only seem to make short-term adjustments!

- Use higher shares of renewable energy.
- No evidence for improving their emissions scores.
- There is no suggestion that total emissions growth and direct emissions growth
- They do not terminate supply chain links to environmentally unfriendly suppliers or source environmentally-friendly materials.

# **Other Findings**

The effects on loan volumes and spreads are more prominent for

- banks that are signatories of the UN Principles for Responsible Banking (UNEP),
- banks whose ownership structure is dominated by institutional investors

Further robustness checks show that

- There are no anticipation effects.
- The results hold when we exclude observations from end of 2022 onwards when the ECB announced their climate stress tests.
- Our findings are not confounded by other banks' and firms' characteristics.
- Different measurements of carbon transition risks (carbon emission intensity scaled by total assets, Sautner et al (2023) Index, and Reprisk Index do not change our findings.

# **THANK YOU**

# **APPENDIX**

#### Risk Management ( + Add to myFT

#### Banks face grilling over carbon emissions

Financial watchdogs are demanding greater transparency from lenders over the environmental impact of their clients

#### Finance and climate change risk: Managing expectations

Stijn Claessens, Nikola Tarashev, Claudio Borio / 7 Jun 2022

The financial sector has a key role to play in supporting the green transition, but it is unrealistic to expect that it can drive the required reallocation of resources in the absence of adequate environmental policymaking in the real economy.

#### Climate-Conscious Banks Stick With Distressed Polluters

Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan made public commitments to environmental sustainability but continue to finance struggling coal and oil-and-gas producers

Towards a Green Eurozone: ECB Climate Risk Stress Test and Monetary Policy Changes



# **Motivation and Background**

Intensive debate in literature and policy circles about carbon transition risks and what to do about them...

- 1. Banks account for firms' carbon risks in their credit supply and risk management (Kacperczyk and Peydro, 2021; Ivanov et al., 2022; Mueller and Sfrappini, 2022).
- 2. Results are **mixed** because **not all banks are well prepared for the green transition**; some have private interests to protect brown customers (Degryse et al. 2022), or shift transition risk away (by securitization (Mueller et al., 2022)) or lending to brown borrowers abroad (Benincasa et al., 2022)).
- 3. Financing the green transition to "appear green" without adequate monitoring can lead to green bubbles (Claessens et al., 2022) or disconnection between sustainability reporting vs lending activities (Giannetti et al., 2023).
- 4. Acharya et al. (2023) explore different designs of climate stress tests and call for more research on the effect of climate stress tests.

#### A Climate of Change





# Institutional background

- Central banks conduct climate stress tests due to financial stability concerns. However, these exercises also inform participating banks (and indirectly their borrowers via feedback effects) about exposures to transition risk.
- From July 2020 to April 2021, the French Prudential Supervision and Resolution Authority (ACPR) was the first to challenge banks and insurers to assess risks associated with climate change. (Mainly physical risk for insurance companies, and mainly transition risk for banks).
- <u>Objective of the climate pilot exercise</u>: Measure consequences of climate transition risk using different scenarios for increases in carbon prices over the next 30 years.
- Nine banking groups [and 15 insurance groups] participated in these stress tests. These 9 banking groups represent 85 percent of French banks' total assets.

#### **Carbon prices in different stress test scenarios**





# Institutional background



- The French climate pilot exercise does not identify "violators".
- It provides a platform for "two-way feedback" between supervisors and banks.
- It asks banks to collect more information to improve banks' comprehension of long-run implications of climate change, allowing them to make headway in incorporating climate risks in risk management frameworks.

# **Summary statistics**

On average, 1 syndicated loan has a size of **600 million USD**, maturity of **5 years**, and a loan spread of **202 basis points**.

**1,673 loans** given to 54 French firms by 116 banks.

43.8% of loans are given by stress-tested banks,56.2% other loans given by non-stress tested, yet similar banks.

**39%** of firms getting loans from stress-tested banks after climate stress tests. **Carbon emission growth** ranges between -47% to 112%.

# How similar are participating banks and nonparticipating banks?

Prior to the stress tests, borrowers receiving loans from participating and nonparticipating banks have similar trends in loan characteristics.

Characteristics of participating and non-participating banks evolve in similar trends before stress tests.

Characteristics of borrowers receiving loans from participating and non-participating banks before the stress tests also satisfy parallel trends.

Variable	Mean Treated	Mean Control	Diff.	t-stat
Loans Characteristics				
$\Delta$ Loan Amount (Ln)	-0.043	0.084	-0.126	-0.49
$\Delta$ Spreads (Ln)	0.099	0.186	-0.087	-0.48
Banks' characteristics				
$\Delta$ Share of High Emitting Borrowers	0.024	0.008	0.016	0.15
$\Delta$ Bank size	0.657	-0.222	0.881	0.98
$\Delta$ Equity/Total Assets	-0.093	-0.403	0.310	0.29
$\Delta$ Loans Growth (%)	-0.338	-0.391	0.052	0.06
$\Delta \text{ ROA}$	-0.018	-0.022	0.004	0.05
Firms' characteristics				
$\Delta$ Firm size	0.657	-0.222	0.880	0.98
$\Delta$ Leverage	-0.001	0.230	-0.232	0.05
$\Delta \text{ ROA}$	0.103	0.044	0.059	0.12

# **Identification Strategy: Lending**

$$\begin{split} Y_{lbft} &= \beta_1 \times HighEmitter_f \times Post_t \times Treated_b + \beta_2 \times HighEmitter_f \times Post_t + \beta_3 \times HighEmitter_f \times Treated_b \\ &+ \beta_4 \times HighEmitter_f + \beta_5 \times Post_t + \gamma F_{ft} + \theta L_{lbft} + \delta_b + \delta_l + \delta_{it} + \varepsilon_{lbft} \end{split}$$

HighEmitter <sub>f</sub>	Dummy taking on the value 1 if the average carbon emissions of borrower f before 2020 is above the median (0 otherwise)
Post <sub>t</sub>	Dummy taking on the value 1 for the period after (2020Q3) the French climate stress tests (0 otherwise)
Treated <sub>b</sub>	Dummy taking on the value 1 for a bank participating in the French climate stress tests (0 otherwise)
Treatment group:	Loans originated by French banking groups that participate in the climate pilot exercise.

*Control group:* Loans provided by French and foreign banks that provide credit to these borrowers but cannot participate in the climate pilot exercise.

# Banks' lending and borrowers' carbon emissions

			Loan amo	ount (Ln)	Sprea	d (Ln)
		Carbon Emission (Ln)	0.066	0.068	-0.002	-0.005
		and the second se	(0.042)	(0.042)	(0.023)	(0.024)
		Maturity	0.016	0.018	0.063	0.066
		and the second	(0.103)	(0.106)	(0.052)	(0.049)
		Borrower Size		0.006		0.017
	-	and the second se		(0.014)		(0.014)
No evidence that banks		Borrower Leverage		-0.001		-0.001
				(0.004)		(0.003)
reduce credit supply or		Borrower ROA		-0.028		-0.026
in an and loop notes to bigh	ſ			(0.018)		(0.016)
increase loan rates to high		Loan Amount (Ln)			-0.236**	-0.232***
transition firms					(0.088)	(0.083)
		Loan Spread	$-0.722^{***}$	$-0.724^{***}$		
			(0.134)	(0.139)		
		Observations	992	992	992	992
		Bank FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
		Industry $\times$ Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
		Loan Type FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Adjusted  $R^2$ 

0.874

0.875

0.888

0.891

# Does the pilot exercise aid the green transition? Maturity Results

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Dependent Variable	Loan An	nount (Ln)	Spread	s (Ln)
Sample	> 3Y	<= 3Y	> 3Y	<= 3Y
$Treat \times High Emitter \times Post$	0.379**	-0.228	0.107***	0.021
	(0.180)	(0.145)	(0.039)	(0.026)
Treat $\times$ High Emitter	-0.259*	0.193	-0.067**	-0.018
	(0.154)	(0.137)	(0.032)	(0.024)
High Emitter $\times$ Post	0.257	0.000	0.167	0.000
	(0.880)	(0.000)	(0.208)	(0.000)
$Treat \times Post$	-0.013	0.278	-0.004	-0.026
	(0.037)	(0.187)	(0.011)	(0.032)
High Emitter	-0.797	0.000	$-0.324^{*}$	0.000
	(0.533)	(0.000)	(0.178)	(0.000)
Post	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Observations	1,288	408	1,288	408
Bank FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Loan Type FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Industry FE	No	No	No	No
Year FE	No	No	No	No
Industry $\times$ Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Loan Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Our findings are driven by loans with maturities above 3 years

→ The lending activities that are of greater relevance for the green transition have longer maturities.

# Heterogeneities

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Dependent Variable	Loan A	mount (Ln)	Spre	eads (Ln)	Loan Am	ount (Ln)	Spread	s (Ln)
Sample	UNEP	Non-UNEP	UNEP	Non-UNEP	High IO	Low IO	High IO	Low IO
Treat $\times$ High Emitter $\times$ Post	0.550**	0.241	0.107**	0.042	0.712**	0.093	0.105**	0.081
	(0.190)	(0.174)	(0.048)	(0.039)	(0.341)	(0.085)	(0.049)	(0.065)
Treat $\times$ High Emitter	-0.502***	-0.167	-0.082**	-0.023	-0.639*	0.013	-0.058	-0.042
	(0.150)	(0.174)	(0.035)	(0.041)	(0.316)	(0.026)	(0.041)	(0.038)
High Emitter $\times$ Post	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Treat $\times$ Post	-0.140	0.020	-0.051**	-0.037*	-0.113	-0.000	-0.056***	-0.004
	(0.107)	(0.038)	(0.022)	(0.020)	(0.093)	(0.040)	(0.019)	(0.028)
High Emitter	-0.257	-0.333	-0.415**	-0.335*	-0.098	-1.170***	-0.391**	-0.100
	(0.503)	(0.532)	(0.150)	(0.177)	(0.559)	(0.222)	(0.164)	(0.103)
Post	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Observations	644	1037	636	1037	881	806	867	806
Bank FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Loan Type FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Industry FE	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Year FE	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Industry $\times$ Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Loan Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

The effects on loan volumes and spreads are concentrated in

- banks that are signatories of the UN Principles for Responsible Banking (UNEP),
- banks whose ownership structure is dominated by institutional investors

# Anticipation

	(1)	(2)
	Loan Amount (Ln)	Spread (Ln)
Treat $\times$ High Emitter $\times$ Y2015	0.041	0.046
_	(0.113)	(0.074)
Treat $\times$ High Emitter $\times$ Y2016	-0.127	0.022
	(0.168)	(0.069)
Treat $\times$ High Emitter $\times$ Y2017	-0.424	-0.042
	(0.335)	(0.124)
Treat $\times$ High Emitter $\times$ Y2018	-1.387	-0.128
	(0.914)	(0.191)
Treat $\times$ High Emitter $\times$ Y2019	0.000	0.053
	(0.123)	(0.064)
Observations	992	992
Loan Controls	Yes	Yes
Firm Controls	Yes	Yes
Bank FE	Yes	Yes
Loan Type FE	Yes	Yes
Industry $\times$ Year FE	Yes	Yes
Adjusted $R^2$	0.872	0.888

 No evidence of an anticipation effects from banks.

### **Falsification tests**

	(1) Loan Amount (Ln)	(2) Spread (Ln)
Placebo Treat $\times$ High Emitter $\times$ Post	-0.030 (0.106)	0.007 (0.066)
Observations	1,673	1,673
Loan Controls	Yes	Yes
Firm Controls	Yes	Yes
Bank FE	Yes	Yes
Loan Type FE	Yes	Yes
Industry $\times$ Year FE	Yes	Yes
Adjusted $R^2$	0.855	0.907

 Randomly assigning climate stress tested banks renders key coefficient insignificant.

# **Alternative measurements of transition risks**

	(1) (2) Emission Intensity		(3) (4) Exposure to Transition Risk		(5) (6) Reprisk Index	
	Loan Amount	Spread	Loan Amount	Spread	Loan Amount	Spread
Treat $\times$ Post $\times$ High Transition Risk	$   \begin{array}{c}     0.150^{***} \\     (0.047)   \end{array} $	0.031 *** (0.020)	0.375*** (0.126)	$0.113^{***}$ (0.040)	$0.154^{*}$ (0.077)	$0.095^{***}$ (0.027)
Observations	$1,\!673$	$1,\!673$	1,673	1,673	1,673	1,673
Loan Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bank FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Industry -Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Loan Type FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Adjusted $R^2$	0.858	0.906	0.856	0.915	0.855	0.907

Taking into account firm sizes (scaled emissions with borrowers' total assets) Exposure to climate risks by Sautner et al (2023)

**Environmental Risk Index** by Reprisk

# **Identification Strategy: Environment**

 $Y_{ft} = \beta_1 \times HighEmitter_f \times Post_t \times Treated_{f,t-1} + \beta_3 \times HighEmitter_f \times Post_t + \beta_4 \times HighEmitter_f \times Treated_{f,t-1} + \gamma F_{ft} + \alpha_f + \tau_t + \varepsilon_{ft}$ 

*Y<sub>ft</sub>* Short/long-term adjustments in environmental performance for borrower *f* at time *t* 

Short-term adjustments	Long-term adjustments
Eco-friendly product	Renewable energy
ESG score	Total emissions growth
Environmental score	Direct emissions growth
Emission score	Having supply chain environmental policies
Having emission policies	Termination of environmentally unfriendly suppliers
Having target emissions	Materials sourcing environmental criteria

HighEmitterDummy taking on the value 1 if the average carbon emissions of borrower f before 2020 is above the median (0 otherwise)TreatedDummy taking on the value 1 for a borrower receiving any loan from a climate stress-tested bank the year before (0 otherwise) $\alpha_f$ Borrower-fixed effects $\tau_t$ Time-fixed effects

# **Robustness: Borrowers' financial constraints**

	(1)	(2)
	Loan Amount (Ln)	Spread (Ln)
Treat $\times$ Post $\times$ High Emitter	0.226**	0.118***
	(0.103)	(0.038)
SA Index	-1.044**	1.229***
	(0.499)	(0.147)
Observations	$1,\!425$	1,425
Loan Controls	Yes	Yes
Firm Controls	Yes	Yes
Bank FE	Yes	Yes
Industry $\times$ Year	Yes	Yes
Loan Type FE	Yes	Yes
Adjusted $R^2$	0.855	0.949

Our inferences remain unaffected controlling for borrowers' financial constraints.

# **Robustness: Bank characteristics**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
	Loan Amount (Ln)			Spread (Ln)			
$Treat \times Post \times High Emitter$	0.373**	0.357**	0.359**	0.086**	0.073**	0.073**	
0	(0.181)	(0.176)	(0.174)	(0.035)	(0.034)	(0.034)	
Lender Size	-0.013	-0.009	-0.008	0.013***	0.016***	0.016***	
	(0.019)	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.006)	
Lender Capital		0.002	$0.005^{*}$		$0.002^{**}$	0.002	
		(0.001)	(0.003)		(0.001)	(0.001)	
Lender ROA			$-0.047^{*}$			0.002	
			(0.027)			(0.013)	
Observations	$1,\!673$	$1,\!673$	1,673	$1,\!673$	$1,\!673$	1673	
Loan Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Firm Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bank FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Industry $\times$ Year	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Loan Type FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Adjusted $R^2$	0.856	0.856	0.856	0.907	0.907	0.907	

Our inferences remain unaffected controlling for bank size, capital ratios, and ROA.

### **Disentangling climate stress tests: France vs. ECB**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Loan amount (Ln)		Spread (Ln)	
Treat $\times$ High Emitter $\times$ Post	0.345**	0.333**	0.096***	0.094**
	(0.170)	(0.165)	(0.035)	(0.036)
Treat $\times$ High Emitter	-0.296*	$-0.291^{*}$	$-0.055^{*}$	-0.053*
	(0.168)	(0.165)	(0.029)	(0.030)
High Emitter $\times$ Post	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
High Emitter	-0.311	-0.350	-0.383**	-0.411**
	(0.499)	(0.493)	(0.173)	(0.176)
Observations	1,277	1,277	1,277	1,277
Loan controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bank FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Industry $\times$ Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Loan Type FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Adjusted $R^2$	0.840	0.841	0.883	0.885

Our inferences remain unaffected after removing observations from 2022 onwards.

### Conclusion

- We are the first to examine how banks respond after climate stress tests to borrowers' exposure to transition risk.
- Novel evidence that climate stress-tested banks increase lending to higher transition risk borrowers, for green purposes with but simultaneously adjust their risk pricing in a non-favorable manner for the borrower.
- Following the changes in loan characteristics, borrowers of participating banks
  - are more likely to have eco-friendly products
  - improve their ESG, Emission and Environmental scores
  - are more likely to have emission policies and to have targets for their carbon emission reduction

(22)

- increase their usage of renewable energy
- there is little or no evidence that they terminate relationships with 'brown suppliers' or
- reduce total or direct emission growth, or use fewer 'brown' materials (adjustments in hard facts may take more time to become observable)

 $(\mathbf{\hat{s}})$ 

### **Stress-test scenarios**



Scenarios vary in terms of carbon price adjustments and expected productivity of ,green energy'.

Source: https://acpr.banque-france.fr/sites/default/files/medias/documents/20200717\_main\_assumptions\_and\_scenarios\_of\_the\_acpr\_climate\_pilot\_exercise.pdf

Appendix Table B.1. French climate pilot exercise participants

This table shows an overview about the 9 banking groups that participated in the French climate pilot exercise in 2020.

1	AGENCE FRANÇAISE DE DÉVELOPPEMENT
2	BNP PARIBAS
3	BPCE
4	CAISSE DES DÉPÔTS
5	CREDIT AGRICOLE
6	CREDIT MUTUEL
7	LA BANQUE POSTALE
8	SOCIÉTÉ GÈNÉRALE
9	SOCIÉTÉ DE FINANCEMENT LOCALE

## **Heckman Selection Model**

	(1)	(2)		(3)	
	Second Stage	Result	First Stage Result		
Dept. Var.	Loan Amount (Ln)	Spreads (Ln)		P(Treat)	
Treat $\times$ Post $\times$ High Emitter	$0.350^{*}$	0.079**	Green Lender	$0.503^{*}$	
	(0.175)	(0.038)		(0.293)	
Treat $\times$ High Emitter	-0.339*	-0.038	Lender Size	-0.247	
	(0.184)	(0.038)		(0.377)	
Treat $\times$ Post	0.185	-0.074	Lender Capital	-0.119	
	(0.165)	(0.052)		(0.182)	
High Emitter $\times$ Post	0.000	0.000	Lender Deposit	-0.031	
	(0.000)	(0.000)		(0.040)	
High Emitter	-0.394	-0.298**			
	(0.504)	(0.132)			
Post	0.000	0.000			
	(0.000)	(0.000)			
Inverse Mills Ratio	-0.073	0.015			
	(0.061)	(0.017)			
Observations	1,696	1,696		698	
Loan Controls	Yes	Yes		No	
Firm Controls	Yes	Yes		No	
Bank FE	Yes	Yes		Yes	
Industry Year FE	Yes	Yes		No	
Year FE	No	No		Yes	
Loan Type FE	Yes	Yes		No	
Country FE	No	No		Yes	
Adjusted $R^2$	0.837	0.884		-	
Pseudo $\mathbb{R}^2$	-	-		0.849	
Clustering	Bank, Firm	Bank, Firm		Bank	