



4TH EBA RESEARCH WORKSHOP 18 - 19 NOVEMBER 2015

Discussing on “*Cyclicality of SME lending and government involvement in Banks*” by Behr P., Foos D. and Norden L.

Walter Vecchiato, EBA

This contribution represents my own personal view

Cyclicalty of SME lending and government involvement in Banks

- Very interesting investigation on the cyclicalty of small and medium-sized enterprises (SME) lending by local German banks with vs. without a public mandate.
- The public mandate stipulates a deviation from strict profit maximization and a sustainable provision of financial services to local customers.
- Their conjecture is that **government involvement in banks lowers the cyclicalty of SME lending.**
- The authors find empirical evidence that **banks with a public mandate are on average 25% less sensitive to GDP growth than other local banks.**
- They use a panel data from around 800 German banks spanning over 1987 to 2007
- DE Local Banks: Saving Banks with public mandate vs. Credit Cooperatives from the same location
- The authors control for location, size, funding structure, liquidity, credit-demand-side factors, etc. and they also use alternative measures of cyclicalty, such as regional GDP growth, real growth in investments, etc.

Research on government involvement in banks



- La Porta et al. (2002) “Government ownership of banks” Journal of Finance

This paper tests whether state-ownership of banks is correlated with bank-lending behaviour over the business cycle. They found that government-owned banks exhibit underperformance and inefficient credit allocation.

- Sapienza P. (2004) “The effects of government ownership on bank lending” Journal of Financial Economics ; Dinc S. (2005) “Politicians and banks: political influences on government-owned banks in emerging countries” Journal of Financial Economics

Lending of public banks is mainly driven by political motives.

- Micco A. – Panizza U. (2006) “Bank ownership and lending behaviour” Economics Letters

They find that state-ownership banks’ lending is less responsive to macroeconomic shocks than the lending of private banks.

- Behr P. – Norden L. – Noth F. (2013) “Financial constraints of private firms and bank lending behaviour” Journal of Banking and Finance

The authors show that the lending behaviour of small banks in Germany with a public mandate helps to reduce financial constraints of SMEs.

The presented paper contributes to this literature by showing that the cyclicity of small banks’ SME lending depends on their mandate and business objectives

Reasons why state-owned banks may stabilize credit

- The government internalizes the benefits of more stable macroeconomic environment.
- Banks failures are more likely during recessions and depositors may think that public banks are safer because of their mandate, therefore, they can enjoy a more stable deposit base and be better able to smooth credit.
- Managers of state-owned banks could be lazier than private bank managers, due to less incentives.
- Politicians can influence the lending of public banks in order to maximize their probability of re-election. (Local) politicians may be Board members in (local) state-owned banks.

The authors rule out this “political explanation” with an additional empirical analysis.

They also rule out “moral hazard” checking that the lower cyclicity of Saving Banks’ SME lending does not come with additional risk-taking.

Notes on “Cyclicality of SME lending and government involvement in Banks”

- “Landesbanks” are excluded from this study, even if government involved, because more active in complex financial services and international banking.

Here the state influence is often much more tangible.

What happens when comparing Landesbanks with privately owned commercial banks?

Recently, one Landesbank had an agreement in the EU state aid proceeding. Therefore, **moral hazard should be addressed with more investigations.**

Sample Context

- @2013 : 27% total assets in DE were held by banks with government involvement (of which 13% by saving banks). Saving banks’ lending to non-banks accounts for 19%.
- @2013: 9% total assets in DE were held by cooperative banks, that account for 13% of total lending to non-banks.

Saving and Cooperative banks are regionally oriented and focus mainly on lending to local SMEs.

Notes on “Cyclicality of SME lending and government involvement in Banks”

- well extended and very representative data sample

461 Savings banks (85% total assets @2013) and 330 Cooperative banks (63% total assets @2013)

- Obs. spanned over 1987-2007 (20 years)

Just around 2 years of (“mild and short”) Recessions : ’92-’93 and ’02-’03

What about on prolonged and more severe recession that started from Lehmann’s default (Sept. 15th 2008)?

Is the link between Loan growth and GDP growth still counter-cyclical for public banks?

- The empirical analysis, performed in the paper, is conducted by estimating a dynamic panel regression model, that allows the authors to find evidence that savings banks are less cyclical than cooperative banks.