# EBA Proportionality Workshop: Practical Implementation of Proportionality in Resolution Planning

Andrew Gracie Executive Director Resolution Directorate Bank of England

3 July 2015



# 1. Resolution objectives apply universally

- Resolution objectives in the Banking Act 2009
  - ensure the continuity of banking services in the United Kingdom and of critical functions;
  - protect and enhance the stability of the financial system of the United Kingdom;
  - protect and enhance public confidence in the stability of the financial system of the United Kingdom;
  - protect public funds, including by minimising reliance on extraordinary public financial support;
  - protect depositors and investors covered by relevant compensation schemes;
  - protect, where relevant, client assets; and
  - avoid interfering in property rights, in contravention of the European Convention of Human Rights.



#### 2. Resolvability required for all resolution strategies

- Further work required to address resolvability for <u>all</u> firms in scope of BRRD should be aligned and prioritised by resolution strategy. It is necessary to ensure resolvability for firms under each resolution tool and insolvency/administration proceedings:
  - BRRD Resolution tools including transfer (private sector purchasers and bridge bank) and bail-in: MREL setting, operational continuity, valuation, access to FMIs, contractual recognition...
  - Insolvency (Bank Insolvency Procedure) Depositor Protection (PRA PS 6/15 – revision to rules on Single Customer View files and continuity of access)
  - Administration (Special Administration Regime for investment firms)
    Client assets and client money segregation



### 3. Setting appropriate resolution strategies

- Consideration of Critical Economic Functions (CEFs) and Critical Shared Services (CSS)
- BRRD level 1 text sets out criteria for considering where simplified obligations should be applied:
  - Size of firm
  - Interconnectedness
  - Scope and complexity of activities
  - Risk profile
  - Legal status
  - Nature of business
  - Shareholding structure
  - Legal form
  - Membership of IPS etc



# 4. RRP and resolution information requirements

- Lessons learned following first RRP submissions from all UK banks and building societies in 2012:
  - One size fits all requirements
  - Challenges in separating critical economic functions
  - Targeted questions to avoid information dumps
  - Timing of submissions
- Refined RRP requirements in December 2013 (PRA PS8/13) to separate recovery plans and resolution plans, and introduced a phased approach to resolution information requirements:
  - Phase 1 Basic information about firm (all firms)
  - Phase 2 Targeted information requests to address barriers to resolution (determined by resolvability of firm)
- Proportionality delivered through phased approach.



# 5. Drafting resolution plans and resolvability assessments

- Initial development of BRRD compliant resolution plans identified challenges in completing all information – burdensome for resolution authority.
- Opportunities to maximise efficiency:
  - Application of simplified obligations for BIP firms
  - Aligning to requirements for FSB RAP process for G-SIBs
- What do efficient resolution plans look like?
  - Template documents (separate template for G-SIBs, BIP firms)
  - Standard sections applicable to multiple firms (e.g. access to FMIs)
  - Critical information included strategy, key barriers to resolution and plans to address barriers to resolution.



### 6. Removing impediments to resolvability

 Bank of England published consultation paper on 22 May, on the approach to exercising powers to direct institutions to address impediments to their resolvability

http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/financialstability/Documents/role/risk\_reduction/srr/cp/resolutiondirectinstitutions.pdf

- Demonstrate how remedial measures will adequately address the barriers in a manner proportionate to the burden or restriction imposed.
- Consistent with the FSB Key Attributes and the EBA GL on measures to reduce or remove impediments to resolvability.
- Regards to conventions of public law act reasonably and respect the principle of legal certainty.



### 7. Cross-border resolution planning

- BRRD Article 88 and EBA RTS define resolution college requirements in regards to the scope, and roles and responsibilities of resolution authorities and joint decision making.
- Importance of colleges for cooperation and coordination across member states.
- Uniformity of decision making at resolution colleges.
- UK approach to resolution colleges and engagement with existing CMGs.

