



INTRODUCTION

Public hearing structure and functioning



Public hearing structure

Introduction

A. RTS/ITS authorisation

- Legal basis and overview
- Draft RTS content and specific issues
- Consultation questions

B. RTS on information for notification of acquisition or increase of QH

- Legal basis and main features
- Objectives and proportionality
- Consultation questions

Next steps

Q&A sessions

Suggestions for an efficient session

Should you need assistance or would like to intervene:

- write on WebEx chat to any of the hosts or publicly;
- raise your hand on WebEx.

To avoid background noise, please stay muted unless you take the floor.

To increase audio quality please turn off video streaming.

Please identify yourself (if you don't use full name on WebEx).

Introduction



MiCAR

• Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 on Markets in Crypto-Assets (MiCAR) establishes a **regime for the regulation and supervision of crypto-asset issuance and crypto-asset service provision** in the European Union

Date of applicatio

• came into force on 29 June 2023, and the provisions relating to asset-referenced tokens (ARTs) and electronic money tokens (EMTs) will be applicable from 30 June 2024.

ARTs and EMTs

• Within MiCAR's scope are the **offering to the public or seeking admission to trading of ARTs** and EMTs and issuing such tokens.

EBA supervisi on • Supervision conferred to the EBA for ARTs and EMTs that are determined by the EBA to be significant.

EBA mandates • 17 technical standards and guidelines under MiCAR to further specify the requirements for ARTs and EMTs, and an additional 3 mandates jointly with ESMA (and, in one case, also with The EBA is mandated tEIOPA)

Introduction



12 July 2023

 EBA has launched the public consultation on the first package of Technical Standards developed under MiCAR

MiCAR first consultation package

 The CPs on RTS/ITS on authorisation to the public offer or admission to trading of ARTs and on RTS on notification for acquisition of qualifying holdings in ART issuers are part of such first package



CONSULTATION PAPER ON RTS AND ITS ON INFORMATION FOR AUTHORISATION TO OFFER TO THE PUBLIC OR TO SEEK ADMISSION TO TRADING OF ASSET-REFERENCED TOKENS (ART)

RTS/ITS on information for authorisation: legal basis



Offer to the public or admission to trading of asset referenced tokens (ARTs) subject to authorisation under MiCAR

Article 18 (6) and (7) MiCAR:

EBA mandates for two technical standards on application for authorisation to offer to the public or to seek admission to trading of **ARTs**

- legal persons or other undertakings established in the EU (+ publication of white paper)
- credit institutions are requested to have the white paper approved by the MiCAR CA, but are not subject to MiCAR authorisation requirement
- RTS on the specification of information to be contained in an application for authorisation
- ITS on the establishment of standard forms, templates and procedures for the information to be included in the application
- The RTS and ITS have been developed in close cooperation with the ECB and with ESMA

RTS/ITS information for authorisation: overview



The mandate for the RTS

- requires the EBA to **specify the information listed in Article 18(2)** to be contained in an application for authorisation
- does not cover the assessment methodology of the application, hence the RTS will have to be read in conjunction with other MiCAR EBA regulatory products e.g. on reserve of assets and internal governance

The mandate for the ITS

complements the RTS and lays down uniform modalities (standard letter and a template) and procedures, for the submission of the application

RTS/ITS on information for authorisation: overview



Application for authorisation & white paper

- Application for authorisation: confidential and directed to the NCA
- White paper: public and concise document (also submitted to with the application).
- Draft RTS does not specify its content which is set out in Annex II MiCAR

Proportionality of information request

- **Granular list of information** in Article 18(2) MICAR to be specified by the RTS
- Proportionality for new applications, Article 18(3) MiCAR
- Simplified prudential requirements compared to other financial institutions, hence less information needed
- Voluntary classification as significant
 ART: increased details
- Duplication of information request between white paper and application is minimised, but inevitable in some respects

RTS information for authorisation: content



Draft RTS leverages on EBA regulatory precedents on licensing, it covers

Programme of operations:

- business plan
- Quantitative financial forecast (viability of the business plan)
- assessment of the risks to which the issuer is exposed, including ML/TF risks

Internal governance arrangements:

- third-party
 providers (critical and important functions)
 - internal control framework including the ICT risk management framework (compliant with DORA);

Liquidity

management and reserve of assets for the ART for which the authorisation is sought

- Suitabilitymembers of themanagement body
- Good repute of shareholders or members with direct or indirect qualifying holdings

(aligned to **ESMA** RTS authorisation CASP)

RTS/ITS on information for authorisation: specific issues



Functioning of proprietary DLT operated by the issuer or third party acting on its behalf, where ART is issued, transferred or stored

AML/CFT: issuers of ART are not per se obliged entities or subject to the Transfer of Funds Regulation

- Balance between
 - capturing necessary features and information requirements
 - Avoiding excessive details given evolving character of the technology

- Authorisation has to be refused where the business model exposes the issuer or the sector to serious risks of ML/TF
- Need for adequate information by NCAs on mechanism of issuance, distribution, redemption and ML/TF risk assessment

RTS/ITS information for authorisation: consultation Questions



Question 1. Do you consider that letter (a) of Article 3(2) captures in a clear and realistic manner all necessary requirements of the offer to the public or admission to trading of the asset-referenced tokens, including the mechanism for the issuance, redemption and distribution of the asset-referenced tokens?

Question n. 2: Do you consider that the information requirements about the internal control framework are sufficiently clear and exhaustive?

Question n. 3: Do you consider that Article 6(4) captures in a clear and correct manner all necessary information about the functioning of proprietary DLT or other similar technology where ARTs are issued, transferred and stored and that is operated by the issuer or a third-party operator acting on the issuer's behalf?

PRESENTATION TITLE 12

RTS/ITS information for authorisation: consultation Questions



Question n. 4: Do you consider that the information requirements about the policies and procedures on the composition and management of the reserve of assets, as well as on the custody and investment of the reserve of assets are sufficiently clear and comprehensive?

Question n. 5: Do you agree with the general content and level of detail of the information to be contained in the application?

Question n. 6: Do you consider that Annex II to the ITS is sufficiently clear in the identification of the information requested for each field and sub-field?

PRESENTATION TITLE 13



CONSULTATION PAPER ON RTS ON THE DETAILED CONTENT OF INFORMATION NECESSARY TO CARRY OUT THE ASSESSMENT OF A PROPOSED ACQUISITION OF QUALIFYING HOLDINGS IN ISSUERS OF ASSET-REFERENCED TOKENS UNDER ARTICLE 42(4) OF REGULATION (EU) 2023/1114

Draft RTS on information for notification of acquisition or increase of QH: legal basis



ART subject to prudentia increase of sessment issuers Acquisition

Reputation of the proposed acquirer

Suitability of persons who will direct the business of the target

Financial soundness of the PA

Sound and prudent management of the target

Suspicion of ML/TF risk

Article 42(4) MiCAR:

the detailed content of the information to be submitted with the notification of direct or indirect acquisitions or increase of qualifying holdings in issuers of ARTs, that is necessary for the prudential assessment of the proposed acquisition or increase

In close cooperation with ESMA

MiCAR regime on QH and draft RTS: main features



The different assessment regime of shareholders or members with QH at authorisation and of proposed acquirers in going concerns applies also to CASPs.

Assessment for acquisition/increase of QH is broader than at authorisation, where only sufficiently good repute of shareholders is assessed

However, consistent with crosssectoral regime on acquisition of QH (e.g. CRD, MiFID, Solvency II etc ...)

MiCAR confers on ESMA mandate for RTS on information for notification of acquisition or increase of QH in CASPs in close cooperation with EBA

Draft RTS: objectives and proportionality



Objectives

- Close alignment with:
 - ESMA RTS on same matter to ensure uniformity within MiCAR
 - existing cross-sectoral regime on assessment of acquisition of QH, to ensure uniformity with other sectoral acts
 - Regulatory precedents leveraged on:
 Commission Delegated Regulation (EU)
 2017/1946; ESAS Joint GL on prudential assessment of acquisition of QH; EBA
 RTS on information for authorisation as credit institution

Proportionality

- Information requirements articulated for:

 a) natural and legal persons, b) acquisition thresholds (up 20%, between 20%-50%, over 50%)
 - Legal persons: corporate, AIF, UCITS, trusts, sovereign funds
- Reduced information requirements for:
 - a) **indirect proposed acquirers** of QH, who do not **hold control**,
 - b) proposed acquirer assessed for QH by the same NCA within the last two years,
 - c) proposed acquirer supervised by the same NCA supervising the target issuer of ART

RTS information for notification acquisition QH: consultation questions



Question n. 1: Do you agree with the information request laid down in Article 1 and with the granularity envisaged for the information to be provided by proposed acquirers that are trusts, AIF or UCITS management companies or sovereign wealth funds?

Question n. 2: Do you agree with the proportionate approach to the request of information to be submitted by proposed indirect acquirers of qualifying holdings based on whether they are identified via the control or the multiplication criterion?

Question n. 3: Do you consider the list of information under Article 8 complete and comprehensive to assess the financing of the acquisition, in particular as regards funding originated in the crypto ecosystem?

Question n. 4: Do you agree with the identified cases where reduced information requirements apply, with the related safeguards and with identified information specific for the proposed acquisition?

Question n. 5: Do you find the provisions of this draft Regulation sufficiently clear and comprehensive?



NEXT STEPS

Next steps



Public consultation end date: **12 October 2023**

Please send your comments to https://www.eba.europa.eu/cale ndar/consultation-paper-draft-technical-standards-information-authorisation

Envisaged submission to the European Commission Q1/2024

PRESENTATION TITLE

