



## Single Rulebook Q&A

<b>Question ID</b>	2013_28
<b>Status</b>	Final Q&A
<b>Legal act</b>	Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 (CRR)
<b>Topic</b>	Own funds
<b>Article</b>	484, 486
<b>Paragraph</b>	-
<b>Subparagraph</b>	-
<b>COM Delegated or Implementing Acts/RTS/ITS/GLs/Recommendations</b>	Not applicable
<b>Article/Paragraph</b>	N/A
<b>Date of submission</b>	05/07/2013
<b>Published as Final Q&amp;A</b>	15/11/2013
<b>Disclose name of institution / entity</b>	No
<b>Type of submitter</b>	Credit institution
<b>Subject matter</b>	Grandfathering of capital instruments
<b>Question</b>	<p>This question concerns two types of non-innovative Hybrid Tier 1 instruments (both issued before 31 December 2011): -- Type A: securities with first call date occurred in year 5, and before 31 December 2012; -- Type B: securities with first call date occurred in year 5, and after 31 December 2012. Questions: 1. For both A and B, is it correct to follow Article 484(4) &amp; Article 486(3) for grandfathering guidelines? 2. For both A and B, is it correct to assume that the amount in excess of the applicable Tier 1 grandfathering percentage limit will be treated as grandfathered Tier 2 capital, i.e. being subject to the Tier 2 cap, as per Article 487(2)? 3.</p>

	Alternatively, for both A and B, can the amount in excess of the applicable Tier 1 grandfathering percentage limit be treated as Tier 2 in full from 1 January 2014? Since they are meeting all the criteria for Tier 2 capital under Regulation (EU) No. 575/2013, as per Article 63 post the call date?
<b>Background on the question</b>	Many issuers have non-step Tier 1 outstanding.
<b>EBA answer</b>	See <a href="#">QA 2013 31</a> .
<b>Link</b>	<a href="https://www.eba.europa.eu/single-rule-book-qa/-/qna/view/publicId/2013_28">https://www.eba.europa.eu/single-rule-book-qa/-/qna/view/publicId/2013_28</a>

European Banking Authority, 25/06/2021  
[www.eba.europa.eu](http://www.eba.europa.eu)